



Research Article

SOME SEQUENCE SPACES AND MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

Ekrem SAVAS^{*1}

¹Uşak University, Department of Mathematics, UŞAK; ORCID:0000-0003-2135-3094

Received: 30.07.2019 Revised: 30.09.2019 Accepted: 11.11.2019

ABSTRACT

In this paper we characterize strongly lacunary invariant regular matrices and uniqueness of generalized limits and inclusion relations for some sequence spaces.

Keywords and phrases: σ - convergence, invariant means and matrix transformations.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 40B05, Secondary 40C05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let W be the set of all sequences real or complex and ℓ_∞ denote the Banach space of bounded sequences $x = \{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ normed by $\|x\| = \sup_{n \geq 0} |x_n|$.

Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be the sequence of positive integers such that

- i) $k_0 = 0$ and $0 < k_r < k_{r+1}$
- ii) $h_r = (k_r - k_{r-1}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Then θ is called a lacunary sequence. The intervals determined by θ are denoted by $I = (k_r - k_{r-1}]$. The ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r (see, Freedman et al [1]).

Let σ be a one-to-one mapping of the set of positive integers into itself. A continuous linear functional φ on ℓ_∞ is said to be an invariant mean or a σ - mean if and only if

- 1) $\varphi \geq 0$ when the sequence $x = (x_n)$ has $x_n \geq 0$ for all n .
- 2) $\varphi(e) = 1$, where $e = (1, 1, \dots)$ and
- 3) $\varphi(x_{\sigma(n)}) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in \ell_\infty$.

^{*} Corresponding Author: e-mail: ekremsavas@yahoo.com, tel: (212) 444 04 13 / 4631

For a certain kinds of mapping σ every invariant mean φ extends the limit functional on space C , in the sense that $\varphi(x) = \lim x$ for all $x \in C$. Consequently, $C \subset V_\sigma$ where V_σ is the bounded sequences all of whose σ -means are equal, (see, [14]).

If $x = (x_k)$, set $Tx = (Tx_k) = (x_{\sigma(k)})$ it can be shown that (see, Schaefer [10]) that $V_\sigma = \left\{ x \in l_\infty : \lim_{km} t_{km}(x) = L \text{ uniformly in } m \text{ for some } L = \sigma\text{-lim } x \right\}$ (1.1) where

$$t_{km}(x) = \frac{x_m + Tx_m + \dots + T^k x_m}{k+1} \text{ and } t_{-1,m} = 0$$

We say that a bounded sequence $x = (x_k)$ is σ -convergent if and only if $x \in V_\sigma$ such that $\sigma^k(n) \neq n$ for all $n \geq 0, k \geq 1$.

Just as the concept of almost convergence lead naturally to the concept of strong almost convergence, σ -convergence leads naturally to the concept of strong σ -convergence. A sequence $x = (x_k)$ is said to be strongly σ -convergent (see Mursaleen [7]) if there exists a number L such that

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k |x_{\sigma^i(m)} - L| \rightarrow 0, \tag{1.2}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in m . We write $[V_\sigma]$ as the set of all strong σ -convergent sequences.

When (1.2) holds we write $[V_\sigma]\text{-}\lim x = L$. Taking $\sigma(m) = m+1$, we obtain $[V_\sigma] = [\hat{C}]$, which is the space of strong almost convergence. Note that

$$[V_\sigma] \subset V_\sigma \subset l_\infty.$$

The strongly summable sequences have been systematically investigated by Hamilton and Hill [2], Kuttner [3] and some others. The invariant summable sequences have been discussed by Schafer [14]. Mursaleen [8] have studied absolute invariant convergent and absolute invariant summable sequences. Further the strongly invariant summable sequences was studied by Saraswat and Gupta[9]. The spaces of strongly summable sequences were introduced and studied by Maddox [4,6]. Some works related to invariant summable sequences can be found in [10, 11, 12, 13].

Let $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of nonnegative real numbers and $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence such that $p_k > 0$. (These assumptions are made throughout.) We write $Ax = \{A_n(x)\}$ if $A_n(x) = \sum_k a_{nk} |x_k|^{p_k}$ converges for each n . We write,

$$d_m(x) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} A_{\sigma^n(i)}(x) = \sum_k a(n, k, r) |x_k|^{p_k}$$

where

$$a(n, k, r) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} a_{\sigma^n(i), k}.$$

If $\theta = 2^r$

$$d_m(x) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} A_{\sigma^n(i)}(x) = \sum_k a(n, k, r) |x_k|^{p_k}$$

and

$$a(n, k, r) = \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} a_{\sigma^n(i), k}$$

reduces to

$$t_m(x) = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^m A_{\sigma^n(i)}(x) = \sum_k a(n, k, r) |x_k|^{p_k}$$

where

$$a(n, k, r) = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{i=0}^m a_{\sigma^n(i), k}.$$

The following sequence spaces were defined in [6].

$$[A_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_0 = \{x : d_m(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } n\};$$

$$[A_{(\theta, \sigma), p}] = \{x : d_m(x - le) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } l \text{ uniformly in } n\}$$

and

$$[A_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_\infty = \left\{x : \sup_n d_m(x) < \infty\right\}.$$

The sets $[A_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_0$, $[A_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]$ and $[A_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]_\infty$ will be respectively called the spaces of strongly lacunary invariant summable to zero, strongly lacunary invariant summable and strongly lacunary invariant bounded sequences. If

($\theta = 2^r$), the above spaces reduce to the following sequence spaces.

$$[A_\sigma, p]_0 = \{x : t_m(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } n\};$$

$$[A_\sigma, p] = \{x : t_m(x - le) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } l \text{ uniformly in } n\}$$

and

$$[A_\sigma, p]_\infty = \left\{x : \sup_n t_m(x) < \infty\right\}.$$

If x is strongly lacunary invariant summable to l we write $x_k \rightarrow l[A_{(\theta, \sigma), p}]$. A pair (A, p) will be called strongly lacunary invariant regular if

$$x_k \rightarrow l \Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow l[A_{(\theta, \sigma), p}].$$

2. MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

Let X and Y be two nonempty subset of the space W of sequences. If $x = \{x_k\} \in X$ implies that $\left\{ \sum_k a_{nk} x_k \right\} \in Y$, we say that A defines a (matrix) transformations from X into Y , and we write $A : X \rightarrow Y$. Let c_0 and $(N_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0$ respectively denote the linear spaces of null sequences and sequences lacunary invariant convergent to zero.

We now characterize the class of strongly lacunary invariant regular matrices.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 < \phi \leq p_k \leq H < \infty$. Then (A, p) is strongly lacunary invariant regular if and only if*

$$A \in (c_0, (N_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0),$$

where

$$(N_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0 = \left\{ x : \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} x_{\sigma^n(i)} = 0, \right\} \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

To prove Theorem 2.1 we need the following result.

Lemma 2.2 (see Maddox [4]). *If $p_k, q_k > 0$, then $c_0(q) \subset c_0(p) \Leftrightarrow \liminf \frac{p_k}{q_k} > 0$*

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that (A, p) is strongly lacunary invariant regular. Therefore

$$|x_k - l|^{1/p_k} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \sum_k a(n, k, r) |x_{k-l}| \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in n . $1/p_k \geq \frac{1}{H} > 0$, by Lemma 2.2,

$$x_k \rightarrow l \Rightarrow |x - l|^{1/p_k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$x_k \rightarrow l \Rightarrow \sum_k a(n, k, r)(x_k - l) \rightarrow 0$$

uniformly in n and therefore $A \in (c_0, (N_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0)$.

Sufficiency. Since $p_k \geq \theta > 0$, by Lemma 2.2,

$$x_k \rightarrow l \Rightarrow |x_k - l|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Again we have $A \in (c_0, (N_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0)$. Therefore $x_k \rightarrow l[A_{(\theta, \sigma)}, p]$ and this concludes the proof. Note that $p_k \leq H$ is superfluous in the sufficient and $\phi \leq p_k$ is superfluous in the necessity.

We next consider the uniqueness of generalized limits.

Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $A \in (c_0, (N_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0)$ and $p = \{p_k\}$ converges to a positive limit. Then $x = \{x_k\} \rightarrow l \Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow I[A_{(\theta, \sigma)}, p]$ uniquely if and only if

$$\sum_k a(n, k, r) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } n \tag{2.1}$$

Proof Necessity. Suppose that $A \in (c_0, (N_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0)$ and $\{p_k\}$ be bounded. Let $x_k \rightarrow l$ imply that $x_k \rightarrow I[A_{(\theta, \sigma)}, p]$ uniquely. We have $e \rightarrow I[A_{(\theta, \sigma)}, p]$. Therefore the condition (2.1) must hold. For, otherwise $e \rightarrow O[A_{(\theta, \sigma)}, p]$ which contradicts the uniqueness of l .

Note that the restriction on $\{p_k\}$ (expect boundedness) is superfluous for the necessity.

Sufficiency. Suppose that the condition (2.1) holds and $A \in (c_0, (V_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0)$ and that $p_k \rightarrow \gamma > 0$. Further assume that $x_k \rightarrow l$ imply that $x_k \rightarrow I[A_{(\theta, \sigma)}, p]$ and $x_k \rightarrow I'[A_{(\theta, \sigma)}, p]$ where $|l - l'| = a > 0$. Then we get

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k a(n, r, k) u_k = 0 \text{ (uniformly in } n) \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$u_k = |x_{k-l}|^{p_k} + |x_k - l|^{p_k}$$

By the assumption we have $u_k \rightarrow a^\gamma$. Since $A \in (c_0, (N_{(\theta, \sigma)})_0)$, $u_k \rightarrow a^\gamma$ implies that

$$\sum_k a(n, k, r) |u_k - a^\gamma| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (uniformly in } n). \tag{2.3}$$

But we have

$$a^r \sum_k a(n, k, r) \leq \sum_k a(n, k, r) u_k + \sum_k a(n, k, r) |u_k - a^\gamma| \tag{2.4}$$

Now by (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) it follows that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_k a(n, r, k) = 0 \text{ (uniformly in } n).$$

Since this contradicts (2.1), we must have $l = l'$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

[1] A.R. Freedman, J. J. Sember and M. Rapheal, Some Cesaro-type summability spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 37 (1973), 508-520.
 [2] H.J. Hamilton and J.D. Hill (1938), On strong summability, Amer. J. Math. 60, 588-94.

- [3] B. Kutter (1946), Note on strongly summable sequences, *J. London Math. Soc.* 21, 118-22.
- [4] I.J. Maddox and J. W. Roles, Spaces of strongly summable sequences, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* 18, 345-355.
- [5] I.J. Maddox and J. W. Roles, Absolute convexity in certain topological linear spaces, *Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 66,(1969), 541-45.
- [6] I. J. Maddox (1970), *Elements of Functional Analysis* (Camb. Univ. Press).
- [7] M. Mursaleen, Matrix transformation between some new sequence space, *Houston J. Math.* 9(1993), 505-509.
- [8] M. Mursaleen, On same new invariant matrix methods of summability, *Q. J. Math.* 34 (1983), 77-86.