#### Sigma J Eng & Nat Sci 9 (3), 2018, 323-330



Publications Prepared for the 2017 and 2018 International Conference on Applied Analysis and Mathematical Modeling Special Issue was published by reviewing extended papers



**Research Article** 

# OPTIMIZATION AND MODELING OF MANUFACTURING TOLERANCES UNDER THE CONSTRAINT OF GEOMETRIC ERRORS

# Mohamed RAHOU\*<sup>1</sup>, Fethi SEBAA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Higher School of Applied Sciences of Tlemcen, Dept. of Technology, 13000, Tlemcen-ALGÉRIA; ORCID:0000-0003-2253-4460 <sup>2</sup>University of Tlemcen Dept. of Mathematical 12000, Tlemcen 41 CÉRIA, ORCID:0000,0001,5520,7810

<sup>2</sup>University of Tlemcen, Dept. of Mechanical, 13000, Tlemcen-ALGÉRIA; ORCID:0000-0001-5630-7819

Received: 27.08.2018 Revised: 24.09.2018 Accepted: 10.10.2018

#### ABSTRACT

This paper presents a new method of optimizing manufacturing tolerances. On the principle of kinematics of the rigid body, we used transport matrices with polynomial functions. First, we did 1500 tests on a CNC machine to quantify the EBS deviations. By integrating the two matrices, force matrix and small displacement matrix, to determine manufacturing errors. An example of machining has been processed to validate the results.

Keywords: Optimization, modeling, manufacturing tolerances, errors.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The tools, methods or approaches developed cover the entire life cycle of a product (Product Life Cycle) while respecting the notion of price quality.

Research and development in universities and industries, need a proper tolerance propagation system that can handle three-dimensional geometric tolerances and analyze how these geometric tolerances are propagated in three-dimensional space.

The development of a 3D tolerance propagation system requires two things closely related to each other, the representation of tolerance zones and a mechanism for propagation of tolerance. In the 1990s, much progress was made in this area, mainly in the theoretical aspects of the kinetic formulation of tolerances, small displacement torsor (TPD) or more commonly used in English (SDT), matrix representation, vector tolerancing.

The preliminary work, which motivated the development of 3D techniques for the propagation of tolerance, by Russian researchers, introduced in Portman and Shuster [1] and Portman [2] the technique of the spatial dimension chain. Although geometric tolerances are not explicitly taken into account, they model the propagation of position errors  $\varepsilon$  in terms of an open kinematic chain where errors are represented in a matrix comprising linear position errors and angular position errors.

Fleming [3] presents a work that constitutes a fundamental basis for 3D propagation methods of tolerance. It shows how a toleranced part can be represented as a network of zones and

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author: e-mail: rahoumohamed3@gmail.com, tel: +00213779545990

reference points connected by arcs. The main step in research on the propagation of 3D tolerance usually begins with how to model tolerance zones.

Laperriere and Lafond [4], Lafond and Laperriere [5], Laperriere and El Maraghy [6] Laperriere and Kabore [7] have explicitly modeled the propagation of small dispersions along the tolerance chain using the Jacobian transformation.

Bourdet et al. [8] proposes a set of 3D models of tolerance propagation based on the concept of a small displacement torsor (TPD). TPD is a mathematical representation of the displacement of a rigid body in which three rotations and three translations are involved. Based on the assumption that the displacements are small, linearization is used to derive the final shape of a torsor.

Villeneuve [9] proposes as part of a specification process integrating the functional constraints and those related to the manufacture of a mechanism, a three-dimensional formalization of manufacturing tolerancing by associating the concept of small displacements and the machining entities.

Wolf [10] proposes a model of quotation of the pieces of revolution. This is a 2D extension of the uniaxial "Delta L" method initially proposed by P. Bourdet. Villeneuve [11] presents a 3D manufacturing tolerancing model for mechanical parts. The concept of small displacement torsor (TPD) is used to model the manufacturing process. The main originality is the modeling of the machining assembly as amechanism. Anselmetti [12] developed the CLIC method (Location Ouotation with Influence of Contacts). It includes algorithms of choice of specifications, an original and complete method of 3D computation of the resultant called "lines of analysis", at the worst case and in statistics, and a method of synthesis of tolerances. Villeneuve [13] proposes a three-dimensional model of defective parts called MMP (Model of Manufactured Part) allowing the 3D simulation of a manufacturing process and the analysis of compliance with functional specifications. This model is used for the simulation of production lines. Dantan [14] proposes a GeoSpelling model allowing a complete and coherent process of tolerancing. This model, proposed to ISO for the reconstruction of standards in the areas of tolerancing and metrology, allows a unified description of the geometric specification. This model takes into account, not only the specifications of the insulated parts, but also the assemblies. Sebaa [15] has developed a 3DmaTol module (3D Manufacturing Tolerancing) contributing to the three-dimensional tolerancing of manufacturing tolerances. Rahou [16] developed a Modeling of Machining Errors on the NC Machine Tool.

#### 2. EXPERIMENTAL STUDY

During its machining, a part is put in position and maintained in the reference system of the machine tool using an apparatus. A poorly adapted part is one of the main causes of non-quality mechanical parts obtained by machining. The main causes of rejection due to coin take-off come either from a bad adjustment of the setting in position of the part, or deformations of the part or dispersions on the position of the part in the reference system of the machine.

Several experimental studies have been conducted to identify these errors for the measurement of geometrical defects using a gauge block. A similar experimental study was established with a test surface of a raw bearing surface and ground surface for the measurement.

### 2.1. Test Procedure

The problems, due to a bad choice of part take, appear during the production. To avoid this, it is essential to control the choice of part taking for machining.

The procedure is based on the measurement of geometrical defects due to the movements of the table using a standard shim fixed on the table. The distances between the normals were chosen according to the experi mental study of positioning on a rough prismatic piece; the latter being fixed on the table [17].

Test conditions:

- Rigid piece dimensionally stable;
- Piece dimensions: 50 \* 90 \* 10 mm;
- Contact surfaces: rectified (super finish);
- Measuring surfaces: standard gauge;
- Measuring equipment: electronic truss, precision comparator 0.001;
- Number of tests: 100 for each of the normals;
- Thermal equilibrium achieved;
- Support: magnetic vise, marble and non-deformable test fixture;
- Mounting: normal machined;

Figure 1 illustrates the six normals used.



Figure 1. Six normal

Figure 2 gives the best pace and represents the optimal isostatic position.



Figure 2. Optimal isostatic position

The use of a gauge block for determining geometrical defects or a blank to quantify positioning defects has resulted in the same optimal position.

All representations or models attempt to approximate the standardized representation of the rating in accordance with ISO 1101 and ASME Standard Y14.5M.

Defining the actual geometry of the parts requires the use of a:

- Design model of the nominal geometry;
- Tolerancing model for calculating tolerances;

The main models in tolerancing used for the description of the geometry of the parts constituting the system conceived are:

- Torsor model;
- Model by zone of tolerance;
- Model by play area;
- Models based on offsets;
- Requirement on virtual borders;
- Model SATT (Clement, ..)

#### **3. MODELING ERRORS**

In this study, we use the small displacement torsors for the modeling of deviations of the part without clamping and we use the reactions torsors for the modelization of the deviations of the part with clamping.

#### 3.1. Small Displacements Torsor

The concept of small displacement torsor (TPD) was developed in the 1970s by Pierre Bourdet and André Clément [18]. The displacements of a solid can be characterized at a point O by a translation vector and a rotation matrix, equation (1).

$$\overrightarrow{D}_{M} = \overrightarrow{t}_{a} + \overrightarrow{MO} \wedge \overrightarrow{\omega} \tag{1}$$

With:

 $t_o(u, v, w)$  translational vector at the point O around the x, y, z axes, and  $\vec{\omega}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  vector of rotation around the x, y, z axes.

x	lnl	$\cos \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma - \sin \alpha \sin \gamma$	$-\coslpha\cos\beta\sin\gamma-\sinlpha\cos\gamma$	cos αsinβ	IXI	
ý	=   v  +	$\sin \alpha \cos \beta \cos \gamma + \cos \alpha \sin \gamma$	$-\sinlpha\cos\beta\sin\gamma + \coslpha\cos\gamma$	sin αsinβ	у	(2)
ź	$ _W $	—sinβcosγ	sinβsinγ	cosβ	$ _{Z} $	

The couple of vectors  $\vec{\tau}(\vec{t},\vec{\omega})$ , equation (2), constitutes a torsor called torsor of small

displacements. In this work, this torsor of small displacements characterizes the deviations ( $\epsilon_i$ ) of the piece on the supports after displacement of the table. The measurements of the defects generated by these movements are taken at the level of the positioning norms.

### **3.2. Reactions Torsors**

We model the reaction of each type of support (fulcrum) by a torsor. This torsor is composed of six components, three reactions noted Rx, Ry, Rz and three moments Mx, My, Mz.

• For plane support, figure 2, the torsor at the point O is given by the system (3).

$$R_{z} = 0$$

$$R_{y} = 0$$

$$R_{z} = R_{z} + R_{z} + R_{y}$$

$$M_{z} = \frac{L(R_{z} - R_{y})}{3}$$

$$M_{y} = \frac{H \cdot (R_{z} - (R_{z} + R_{y}))}{3}$$

$$M_{z} = 0$$
(3)

• For the linear support, figure 2, the support torsor is given by the expression (4)

$$R_{x} = 0$$

$$R_{y} = 0$$

$$R_{z} = R_{x} + R_{y}$$

$$M_{y} = 0$$

$$M_{y} = \frac{H(R_{x} - R_{y})}{2}$$

$$M_{z} = \frac{H(R_{x} + R_{y})}{2}$$
• For punctual support, figure 2, the support torsor is given by the following system (5):

 $R_{x} = 0$   $R_{y} = 0$   $R_{z} = R_{6}$   $M_{x} = 0$   $M_{y} = 0$   $M_{z} = 0$ (5)

# 4. APPLICATION

Figure 3 shows a workpiece with drilling counters or a lack of concentricity must be respected. This case could be adapted to the case of coaxiality.



Figure 3. Drawing of the workpiece

Figure 4 shows the optimal distribution of normals.

× 8	0	CA	RREURS	U	ALPI	HA
Y 2	8		FIN	v	BET	ГА
Z 2	8	CA TO	LCUL DES	w	GAM	МА
REPARTITIC	ON DES N	ORMALE	S			
APPUI PLAN	ON DES N	ORMALE:	S z1	APPUI LINEAIRE	y4	Z
APPUI PLAN x1	ON DES N 333: 0	ORMALE:	<b>S</b>	APPUI LINEAIRE x4 13.33333333333	<b>y4</b>	Z4
APPUI PLAN x1 13.3333333	DN DES N 1 333: 0	ORMALE: y1 y2	S 21 72	APPUI LINEAIRE - x4 13.3333333333 x5 06.6666666666	у4 14 уб	Z4 0 Zt
APPUI PLAN x1 13.3333333 x2 66.666666	DN DES N/ 3333: 0 5666: 0	ormale: y1 y2	<b>z1</b> 21 <b>z2</b> 21	APPUI LINEAIRE	<b>y4</b> 14 <b>y5</b> 14	Z4 0 28
APPUI PLAN x1 [13,3333333 x2 [66,666666	DN DES N 3333: 0 6666: 0	y1 y2	S 21 21 22 21 21	APPUI LINEAIRE - x4 13.3333333333 x6 66.66666666666 APPUI PONCTUEL	у4 14 уб 14	z. 0 zt

Figure 4. Positioning of normals

Figure 5 shows the calculation of the deviations.

DIMENSIONS DU BRUT X 80 Y 28 Z 28	CALCUL ERREL FIN CALCUL TOLERA	DES U DES W DES W	EURS DE TRANSLATION 3.0991666066 2.8433333335 0.0015	ERREURS ALPHA BETA GAMMA	DE ROTATION 1,3066666666 0,0001126 4,749999999
APPUI PLAN x1 13.333333333333	<b>S NORMALES</b>	z1	NPPUI LINEAIRE	<b>y4</b> 14	<b>z4</b>
	y2	72	<b>x5</b> 66.6666666666	<b>у5</b>	<b>25</b>
×2 66.666666666	0 21		_		

Figure 5. Calculation of deviations

COTES DE P				
Cfx	Cfy	Cfz		
54	25	14		
CUL			FIN / RI	TOUR
		_		
	DELTA Y	_	[	DELTA Z

Figure 6 shows the calculation of the concentricity tolerances of the counterbore.

Figure 6. Calculation of concentricity tolerance

### 5. CONCLUSION

Nowadays, producing at the lowest cost, optimizing and modeling systems or functions with a view to simulating them is a very important objective for the industrial sector. Any technical study must consider the life cycle of a product, from design to recycling [ISO 9000].

This modeling is an approach or a model of three-dimensional tolerancing of the geometrical constraints while taking into account geometrical defects of the table failing to eliminate them. This approach is based on the concept of the torsor of small displacements which takes into account the extent of the surface. Since this model is limited to tolerancing the tolerance zone, it can become a true geometric model of specification based on the intrinsic declaration of geometry. The development of a tool to help calculate manufacturing tolerances in 3D is very useful for manufacturers and a source of guidance for designers lacking information on machining.

This contribution will help to establish a spirit of simultaneous engineering by pooling skills upstream, thus facilitating technical or technological decision-making, avoiding interference between the different OS / OM / MM departments through good coordination. New 3D tolerancing approaches focused mainly on the analysis and synthesis of tolerances will be at the origin of the development of powerful tools that can be integrated into powerful commercial software.

We propose to browse the perspectives of research still open as a result of this work:

- Thermal dispersions;
- Deformation of the piece (influence of materials);

## REFERENCES

- [1] Portman V. Shuster G., (1987) Computerized synthesis of a theoretical model of a threeplane dimension chain, *Soviet Engineering Research*, 7, pp.57-60, 1987.
- [2] Portman V. T., (1995) Modelling spatial dimensional chains for CAD/CAM applications, in F. Kimura (ed.), *Proceedings of the 4th CIRP Design Seminar on Computer-Aided Tolerancing*, pp. 71-85, 1995.
- [3] Fleming, A., (1988) Geometric relationships between toleranced features, *Artificial Intelligence*, 37, pp.403-412, 1988.
- [4] Laperrière L., Lafond P.,(1999) Tolerance analysis and synthesis using virtual joints, *In F. van Houten and H. Kals (eds.), Global Consistency of Tolerances*, pp. 405-
- [5] Laperrière L., Lafond, P., (1998) Modeling dispersions a ecting pre-defined functional requirements of mechanical assemblies using Jacobian transforms, *In Integrated Design* and Manufacturing in Mechanical Engineering '98: Proceedings of the 2nd IDMME Conference (CompieÁ gne, France), pp. 381-388, 1998.
- [6] Laperrière, L., Elmaraghy, H. A., (2000) Tolerance analysis and synthesis using using Jacobian transforms, *Annals of the CIRP*, 49/1, pp.359-362, 2000.
- [7] Laperrie L., Kabore, T., (2001) Monte Carlo simulation of tolerance synthesis equations, *International Journal of Production Research*, 39, pp.2395-2406, 2001.
- [8] Bourdet P., Mathieu, L., Lartigue, C. and Ballu, A., (1996) The concept of the small displacement torsor in metrology, Advanced Mathematical Tools in Metrology II (World Scientific Publishing Company), pp. 110-122, 1996.
- [9] Villeneuve F., Legoff O., Bourdet P., (1999) Initiation Produit/Process par le tolérancement tridimensionnel en fabrication, *3ème congrés international de génie industriel, Montréal,* 26-28 mai 1999.
- [10] Wolff V., (2000)Le suivi de la cotation des pièces fabriquées pour la conception coopérante en mécanique, thèse de Doctorat, *INSA Lyon, 2000*.
- [11] Villeneuve F., Legoff, O., Landon, Y., (2001) Tolerancing for manufacturing: a three dimensional model, *International Journal of Production Research*, 39, pp.1625-1648, 2001.
- [12] Anselmetti B., (2007) Calcul tridimensionnel de la résultante d'une chaîne de cotes en cotation ISO, *18ème Congrès Français de Mécanique*, Grenoble, Août 2007.
- [13] Villeneuve F., Vignat F., (2007) Simulation de fabrication avec incertitudes, le modèle MMP (Model of Manufactured Part, 18ème Congrès Français de Mécanique Grenoble, Août 2007.
- [14] Dantan J., Ballu A., Mathieu L., (2008) Geometrical product specifications model for product life cycle, *Computer-Aided Design*, pp. 493-501, April 2008.
- [15] Sebaa F., A. Cheikh M. Rahou M., (2010) A contribution to 3D modeling of manufacturing tolerance optimization», World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology, pp 305-310, 2010.
- [16] Rahou M., Sebaa F., Cheikh A., (2017), Study and Modeling of Machining Errors on the NC Machine Tool, *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Robotics Research*, pp. 54-57, January 2017.
- [17] Rahou M., Sebaa F., Cheikh A., (2015), Effect of the Workpiece Position on the Manufacturing Tolerances, *International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and Manufacturing Engineering*, Vol:9 No: 11, 2015.
- [18] Bourdet P., (2003), Chaînes de cotes de fabrication (Méthode des delta l): première partie Modèles, L'ingénieur et le Technicien de l'Enseignement Technique, ENS, Cachan, Décembre 2003.