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#### Abstract

The 3D approach was employed for investigations of the stability loss of the cylinder with circular cross section fabricated from the viscoelastic composite materials. This approach is based on the investigations of the development of the initial infinitesimal imperfections of the cylinder within the scope of the 3D geometrically nonlinear field equations of the theory of the viscoelasticity for anisotropic bodies. The numerical results on the critical forces and critical time are presented and discussed.
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## VİSKOELASTİK KOMPOZİT MALZEMEDEN YAPILMIŞ DAİRESEL SİLİNDİRİN STABILLITESININ KAYBI HAKKINDA <br> ÖZET

Viskolelastik kompozit malzemeden yapılmış dairesel silindirin 3 boyutlu stabilite kaybının incelenmesi için yaklaşım önerilmektedir. Bu yaklaşım, anizotrop cisimler için, viskoelastisite teorisinin, 3 boyuttlu, geometrik olarak doğrusal olmayan, alan denklemleri çerçevesinde silindire ait başlangıçtaki sonsuz küçük sapmanın gelişiminin araştırılmasına dayanmaktadır. Kritik kuvvet ve kritik zamana ait sayısal sonuçlar bulunmuş ve tartışılmıştır.
Anahtar Sözcükler: Stabilite kaybı, viskoelastik kompozit malzemeden yapılmış silindir, sınır formu pertürbasyon yöntemi.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [1,2] and others, the 3D approach was proposed for investigations of the stability loss of the elements of constructions fabricated from the viscoelastic composite materials. This approach is based on the investigations of the development of the initial infinitesimal imperfections of these elements of constructions within the scope of the 3D geometrically nonlinear field equations of the theory of the viscoelasticity for anisotropic bodies. The review of the investigations carried out by utilizing the mentioned approach is given in a paper [3]. It follows from this review that, up the now the corresponding problem for cylinders do not studied.

[^0]In the present paper the first attempt is made in this field and the stability loss of the circular cylinder fabricated from viscoelastic composite material is studied.

Throughout the investigations, repeated indices are summed over their ranges.

## 2. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

We consider a cylinder which has an initial imperfection in the natural state and determine the position of the points of this cylinder with the Lagrange coordinates in the cylindrical $\operatorname{Or} \theta z$ and the Cartesian system of coordinates $O x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ system of coordinates (Fig. 1). The noted initial imperfection is given through the following equation of the middle line of the cylinder

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{3}=t_{3} ; x_{1}=A \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{\ell} t_{3}\right) ; x_{2}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{3}$ is a parameter and $t_{3} \in(0, \ell), A$ is the amplitude of the initial imperfection form.
We assume that the cylinders' cross section which is perpendicular to its middle line tangent vector, is a circle of the constant radius $R$. Moreover, as in [1,2], we assume that $A \ll l$ and introduce the small parameter
$\varepsilon=\frac{A}{\ell}, \quad 0 \leq \varepsilon \ll 1$


We suppose that the material of the cylinder is viscoelastic transversal isotropic the symmetry axis of which coincides with the $\mathrm{Ox}_{3}(\mathrm{Oz})$ axis. Within the foregoing assumptions, we investigate the evolution of the infinitesimal initial imperfection of the cylinder with time in the case where the cylinder is loaded by uniformly distributed normal compressed forces with intensity $p$ acting on the ends of the cylinder in the direction of the $O z$ axis.
This investigation is made within the scope of the following field equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{i}\left[\sigma^{i n}\left(\delta_{n}^{i}+\nabla_{n} u^{j}\right)\right]=0 \\
& 2 \varepsilon_{i j}=\nabla_{j} u_{m}+\nabla_{m}^{u}{ }_{j}+\nabla_{j} u^{n} \nabla_{m} u_{n} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 1. The geometry of the considered cylinder

The constitutive relations for the cylinder material in the cylindrical system of coordinates are given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{r r}=A_{11}^{*} \varepsilon_{r r}+A_{12}^{*} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}+A_{13}^{*} \varepsilon_{z z} ; \sigma_{\theta \theta}=A_{12}^{*} \varepsilon_{r r}+A_{11}^{*} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}+A_{13}^{*} \varepsilon_{z z} ; \\
& \sigma_{z z}=A_{13}^{*} \varepsilon_{r r}+A_{13}^{*} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}+A_{33}^{*} \varepsilon_{z z} ; \sigma_{r \theta}=\left(A_{11}^{*}-A_{22}^{*}\right) \varepsilon_{r \theta} ; \\
& \sigma_{r z}=2 G^{*} \varepsilon_{r z} ; \sigma_{\theta z}=2 G^{*} \varepsilon_{\theta z}, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{i j}^{*}$ and $G^{*}$ are following operators

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
A_{i j}^{*}  \tag{5}\\
G^{*}
\end{array}\right\} \phi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
A_{i j 0} \\
G_{0}
\end{array}\right\} \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{t}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
A_{i j 1}(t-\tau) \\
G_{1}(t-\tau)
\end{array}\right\} \phi(\tau) d \tau
$$

Here, $A_{i j 0}$ and $G_{0}$ are the instantaneous values of elastic constants, $A_{i j 1}(t)$ and $G(t)$ are the given functions which determine the hereditary properties of the cylinder material. Assume that on the lateral surface S of the cylinder the following conditions are satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{i n}\left(\delta_{n}^{j}+\nabla_{n} u^{j}\right)_{S} n_{j}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the natural state, the upper and lower ends of the cylinder are on the inclined planes with unit normal vectors
$\overrightarrow{n_{0}}=\frac{\overrightarrow{-k}-\varepsilon \pi \vec{i}}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2} \pi^{2}}}$ (for lower end plane)
$\overrightarrow{n_{l}}=\frac{\vec{k}-\varepsilon \pi \vec{i}}{\sqrt{1+\varepsilon^{2} \pi^{2}}}$ (for upper end plane)
Denote the upper (lower) end cross section of the cylinder through $S_{\ell}\left(S_{0}\right)$ and the conditions for the forces on these end cross sections can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma^{3 n}\left(\delta_{n}^{j}+\nabla_{n} u^{j}\right)\right|_{S_{0}} n_{0 j}=p,\left.\sigma^{3 n}\left(\delta_{n}^{j}+\nabla_{n} u^{j}\right)\right|_{S_{\ell}} n_{l j}=-p \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The end conditions for the displacements will be discussed below.
Note that in (3), (4), (6), (7) and (8) the following notation is used: $\nabla_{i}$ shows the covariant derivatives with respect to the i-th cylindrical coordinate, $\sigma^{i n}$ is a contravariant component of the stress tensor, $\varepsilon_{i j}$ is a covariant component of the Green's strain tensor, $u_{n}\left(u^{n}\right)$ is a covariant (contravariant) component of the displacement vector, $\sigma_{r r}, \sigma_{\theta \theta}, \ldots, \sigma_{z z},\left(\varepsilon_{r r}, \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{z z}\right)$ is a physical component of the stress (strain) tensor in the cylindrical system of coordinates $\operatorname{Or} \theta z ; \delta_{n}^{i}$ is the Kronecker symbol; $n_{j}$ is a covariant component of the unit normal vector of the lateral surface of the cylinder; $n_{0 j}\left(n_{\ell j}\right)$ is a covariant component of the unit normal vector of the end inclined plane at $t_{3}=0\left(t_{3}=\ell\right)$. Moreover, below we will use the notation $u_{r}, u_{\theta}$ and $u_{z}$ for denoting the physical components
of the displacement vector. It is known that the mentioned physical components are determined according to the expressions $\sigma_{(i j)}=\sigma^{i j} H_{i} H_{j}, \varepsilon_{(i j)}=\varepsilon_{i j}\left(H_{i} H_{j}\right)^{-1}, u_{(i)}=u^{i} H_{i}=u_{i}\left(H_{i}\right)^{-1}$ , where $(i j)=r r, \theta \theta, z z, r \theta, r z, z \theta, \quad(i)=r, \theta, z$. Here $H_{i}$ are Lamé's coefficients and $H_{1}=1.0$, $H_{2}=r, H_{3}=1.0$ for the cylindrical system of coordinates. Thus, with this the formulation of the considered problem has been exhausted and it follows from this formulation that the evolution of the infinitesimal initial imperfection of the cylinder with time for the fixed value of the initial compressed force p (for the case where the material of the cylinder is viscoelastic) or with initial compressed force p (for the case where the material of the cylinder is pure elastic) will be investigated within the framework of the field equations (3), (4), (5) and boundary condition (6) and (8).

## 3. METHOD OF SOLUTION

Now we consider the method of solution of the problem formulated in the previous section. Note that the method employed below can be briefly summarized as follows. By employing the boundary-form perturbation techniques the considered boundary value problem for the non-linear integro-differential equations (3) - (5) is reduced to the series boundary-value problems for the corresponding system of the linear integro-differential equations. Owing to both the expressions of the operators (5) and the convolution theorem, by the use of the Laplace transform with respect to time these series problems are reduced to the corresponding series boundary value problems for the linear system of differential equations in the Laplace transform parameter space. For each fixed value of this parameter the linear problems are solved by employing variable-separation method and finally, applying the Schapery [4] inverse transformation method we determine the sought values. It should be noted that for the case where the material of the cylinder is pure elastic, the operators (5) are replaced by mechanical constants and therefore instead of the integro-differential equations we obtain differential equations and the corresponding problems for these equations are also investigated in the framework of the above procedure but without employing the Laplace transform.

Since, according to the procedure summarized above and the problem statement, first we derive the equation for the lateral surface $S$ of the cylinder. According to the condition of the cylinder's cross section we can conclude that the coordinates of this surface must simultaneously satisfy the following equations.
$\varepsilon f^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)\left(x_{10}-\varepsilon f\left(t_{3}\right)\right)+x_{30}-t_{3}=0$,
$x_{20}^{2}+\left(x_{30}-t_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{10}-\varepsilon f\left(t_{3}\right)\right)^{2}=R^{2}$,
where $f\left(t_{3}\right)=\ell \sin \left(\pi t_{3} / \ell\right), \quad f^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=\pi \cos \left(\pi t_{3} / \ell\right) ; x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}$ are coordinates of the surface $S$. Note that the first equation in (9) is an equation of the plane perpendicular to the vector which is the tangent vector to the middle line of the fiber at the point that corresponds to the fixed value of the parameter $t_{3}$; but the second equation in (9) is an equation of the circle which is counter to the cross section of the cylinder which rises on the foregoing plane.

Using the relations $x_{10}=r \cos \theta, x_{20}=r \sin \theta$ we obtain the following equation for the surface $S$ in the cylindrical system of coordinates $\operatorname{Or} \theta z$ :
$r=r\left(\theta, t_{3}, \varepsilon\right), z=t_{3}+z_{01}\left(\theta, t_{3}, \varepsilon\right)$
The explicit expressions of the functions $r\left(\theta, t_{3}, \varepsilon\right), z_{01}\left(\theta, t_{3}, \varepsilon\right)$ can also be attained from equation (9); in order not to take up too much space here, we will not present these expressions.

Using the assumption (2) and supposing that the conditions $\left(\varepsilon f^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)\right)^{2} \ll 1$, after some mathematical manipulations, we obtain the following equations.

$$
\begin{align*}
& r=R+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k} a_{0 k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right), z=t_{3}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k} b_{0 k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right), \\
& n_{r}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k} c_{0 k}\left(\theta_{0}, t_{3}\right), n_{\theta}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k} d_{0 k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right), n_{z}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k} g_{0 k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n_{r}, n_{\theta}, n_{z}$ are physical components of the unit normal vector to the surface $S$. The explicit expressions of the functions $r\left(\theta, t_{3}, \varepsilon\right), z_{01}\left(\theta, t_{3}, \varepsilon\right), n_{r}, n_{\theta} n_{z}, a_{o k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right)$, $b_{0 k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right), c_{0 k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right), d_{0 k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right)$ and $g_{0 k}\left(\theta, t_{3}\right)$ can also be attained from equation (9); in order not to take up too much space here, we will not present these expressions.

We write the equation of the planes on which lays lower and upper inclined ends of the cylinder
$x_{3}=-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}$ (for the lower end) $x_{3}=\varepsilon \pi x_{1}+\ell$ (for the upper end)
According to Eq. (7), we can also present the expression of the components of the normal vectors to these ends as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{01}=n_{\ell 1}=-\varepsilon \pi\left(1-\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon \pi)^{2}+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{4}\right)\right), \quad n_{03}=-\left(1-\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon \pi)^{2}+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{4}\right)\right), \\
& n_{\ell 3}=\left(1-\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon \pi)^{2}+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{4}\right)\right) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

According to the procedures of the boundary perturbation technique, as in the works $[5,6]$ and in many others, we attempt to solve the considered problem by employing the boundary form perturbation method. For this purpose the unknowns are presented in series form in $\varepsilon$ (2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sigma^{i j} ; \varepsilon_{i j} ; u_{i} ; u^{i}\right\}=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{q}\left\{\sigma^{(q) i j} ; \varepsilon_{i j}^{(q)} ; u_{i}^{(q)} ; u^{(q) i}\right\} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting Eq. (15) into Eq. (3), we obtain set equations for each approximation (14). Using Eq. (11) we expand the values of each approximation (14) in series form in the vicinity of the point $\left\{r_{0}=R_{0} ; z_{0}=t_{3}\right\}$. Substituting these last expressions in the boundary conditions in (6) and using the expressions of $n_{r}, n_{\theta}$ and $n_{z}$ given in (11), after some mathematical transformations we obtain boundary conditions which are satisfied at $\left\{r=R ; z=t_{3}\right\}$ for each approximation in Eq.(14). It is evident that for the zeroth approximation, Eq.(3) is valid and condition (6) is replaced by the same one satisfied at point $\left\{r=R ; z=t_{3}\right\}$. We assume that $\nabla_{n} u^{(0)} \ll 1$ and therefore we replace the terms $\delta_{n}^{j}+\nabla_{n} u^{(0)}$ by $\delta_{n}^{j}$ where $\delta_{n}^{j}$ is the

Kronecker symbols. According to this assumption, for the zeroth approximation, we obtain the following system of equations:
$\nabla_{i} \sigma^{(0) i j}=0,2 \varepsilon_{i j}^{(0)}=\nabla_{j} u_{i}^{(0)}+\nabla_{i} u_{j}^{(0)}$,
and boundary conditions
$\left.\sigma_{(i j)}^{(0)}\right|_{r=R}=0$,
where $(i j)=r r, r \theta, r z,(i)=r, \theta, z$.
Moreover, we obtain the following end conditions for zeroth approximation from (7), (8), (8) and (13).
$\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}(r, \theta, 0)=\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}(r, \theta, \ell)=-p$,
Note that the mathematical procedure, according to which the end condition (17) is obtained, will be given below.

Taking the last assumption into account, for the subsequent approximations we obtain the following system of equations.
$\nabla_{i}\left[\sigma^{(q) i j}+\sigma^{(0) i n} \nabla_{n} u^{(q) j}\right]=-\underline{\sum_{m=1}^{q-1} \nabla_{i}\left(\sigma^{(q-m) i n} \nabla_{n} u^{(m) j}\right),}$
$2 \varepsilon_{i j}^{(q)}=\nabla_{j} u_{i}^{(q)}+\nabla_{i} u_{j}^{(q)}+\sum_{\underline{m=1}}^{q-1} \nabla_{j} u^{(q-m) n,} \nabla_{i} u_{k}^{(m)}$.
The underlined terms in Eq. (18) are equal to zero for the first approximation. By direct verification it is proven that the left side of Eq. (18) coincide with the corresponding equations of the Three-Dimensional Linearized Theory of Stability (TDLTS) [7]. Dui to linearity the constitutive relations (4) are satisfied by each approximation separately, i.e.
$\sigma_{r r}^{(q)}=A_{11}^{*} \varepsilon_{r r}^{(q)}+A_{12}^{*} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}^{(q)}+A_{13}^{*} \varepsilon_{z z}^{(q)} ; \sigma_{\theta \theta}^{(q)}=A_{12}^{*} \varepsilon_{r r}^{(q)}+A_{11}^{*} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}^{(q)}+A_{13}^{*} \varepsilon_{z \bar{z}}^{(q)} ;$
$\sigma_{z \bar{Z}}^{(q)}=A_{13}^{*} \varepsilon_{r r}^{(q)}+A_{13}^{*} \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}^{(q)}+A_{33}^{*} \varepsilon_{z \bar{Z}}^{(q)} ; \sigma_{r \theta}^{(q)}=\left(A_{11}^{*}-A_{22}^{*}\right) \varepsilon_{r \theta}^{(q)}$;
$\sigma_{r z}^{(q)}=2 G^{*} \varepsilon_{r z}^{(q)} ; \sigma_{\theta z}^{(q)}=2 G^{*} \varepsilon_{\theta z}^{(q)}$,
Now we write the boundary conditions given on the lateral surface of the cylinder for the first approximation by the physical components of the stress tensor.
$\sigma_{(i r)}^{(1)}+f_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{(i r)}^{(0)}}{\partial r}+\varphi_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{(i r)}^{(0)}}{\partial z}+\gamma_{r} \sigma_{(i r)}^{(0)}+\gamma_{\theta} \sigma_{(i) \theta}^{(0)}+\gamma_{z} \sigma_{(i) z}^{(0)}=0$,
where $(i)=r, \theta, z$. In Eq. (20) replacing (i) with $r, \theta$ and $z$ we obtain the explicit form of the corresponding contact conditions in the considered approximation. Moreover, in Eq. (20) the following notation is used.
$\gamma_{z}=-f^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right) \cos (\theta), f_{1}=f\left(t_{3}\right) \cos (\theta), \varphi_{1}=-R f^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right) \cos (\theta)$,
$\gamma_{r}=\left(\frac{f\left(t_{3}\right)}{R}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{3}\right)\right) \cos (\theta), \gamma_{\theta}=-\frac{f\left(t_{3}\right)}{R} \sin (\theta), f^{\prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=\frac{d f\left(t_{3}\right)}{d t_{3}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{3}\right)=\frac{d^{2} f\left(t_{3}\right)}{d t_{3}{ }^{2}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the satisfaction of the end conditions (8). To simply the discussion we rewrite these conditions in the Cartesian system of coordinates $O x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sigma_{3 n}\left(\delta_{n}^{j}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\right|_{S_{0}} n_{0 j}=p ;\left.\sigma_{3 n}\left(\delta_{n}^{j}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\right|_{S_{\ell}} n_{\ell j}=-p \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to equation (12) and (13), we can write the following expressions from the conditions (22).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\sigma_{3 n}\left(\delta_{n}^{j}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\right|_{S_{0}} n_{0 j}=\sigma_{3 n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)\left(\delta_{n}^{1}+\frac{\partial u_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{n}}\right) n_{01}+ \\
& \sigma_{3 n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)\left(\delta_{n}^{3}+\frac{\partial u_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{n}}\right) n_{03}=-p \\
& \left.\sigma_{3 n}\left(\delta_{n}^{j}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{n}}\right)\right|_{S_{\ell}} n_{\ell j}=\sigma_{3 n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell+\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)\left(\delta_{n}^{1}+\frac{\partial u_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell+\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{n}}\right) n_{\ell 1}+ \\
& \sigma_{3 n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell+\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)\left(\delta_{n}^{3}+\frac{\partial u_{3}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell+\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{n}}\right) n_{\ell 3}=p
\end{align*}
$$

Using the expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\text {in }}\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{q} \sigma_{\text {in }}^{(q)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)=\sigma_{\text {in }}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)+ \\
& \varepsilon\left(\sigma_{i n}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)+\left(-\pi x_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \sigma_{i n}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right)+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{2}\right) ; \\
& \frac{\partial u_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{j}}=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{q} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{(q)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2},-\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{\partial u_{m}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)}{\partial x_{j}}+ \\
& \varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial u_{m}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)}{\partial x_{j}}+\left(-\pi x_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{m}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{j}}\right)+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{2}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\sigma_{i n}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell+\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{q} \sigma_{i n}^{(q)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell+\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)=\sigma_{\text {in }}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)+
$$

$$
\varepsilon\left(\sigma_{i n}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)+\left(\pi x_{1}\right) \frac{\partial \sigma_{i n}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right)+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial u_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell+\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{j}}=\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{q} \frac{\partial u_{m}^{(q)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell+\varepsilon \pi x_{1}, t\right)}{\partial x_{j}}=\frac{\partial u_{m}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)}{\partial x_{j}}+ \\
& \varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial u_{m}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)}{\partial x_{j}}+\left(\pi x_{1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{m}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{j}}\right)+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{2}\right), \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain the following expression for the end conditions (22).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{-\sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}\left(\delta_{k}^{3}+\frac{\partial u_{3}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)+\varepsilon\left[-\pi \sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}\left(\delta_{k}^{1}+\frac{\partial u_{1}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)-\sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial u_{3}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{k}}-\pi x_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{k}}\right)-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left\{\sigma_{3 k}^{(1)}-\pi x_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)\left(\delta_{3}^{k}+\frac{\partial u_{3}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right\}_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right)}=p \\
& \left\{\sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}\left(\delta_{k}^{3}+\frac{\partial u_{3}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)+\varepsilon\left[\pi \sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}\left(\delta_{k}^{1}+\frac{\partial u_{1}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)+\sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}\left(\frac{\partial u_{3}^{(1)}}{\partial x_{k}}+\pi x_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{k}}\right)+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left\{\sigma_{3 k}^{(1)}+\pi x_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)\left(\delta_{3}^{k}+\frac{\partial u_{3}^{(0)}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)\right]+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right\}_{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell\right)}^{=-p .} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

In similar manner, we can write the expansions for physical components $u_{(i)}$ of the displacement vector at the ends of the cylinder

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{(i)}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)+\varepsilon\left(u_{(i)}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)+\left(-\pi x_{1}\right) \frac{\partial u_{(i)}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right)+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{2}\right)=0, \\
& u_{(i)}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)+\varepsilon\left(u_{(i)}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)+\left(\pi x_{1}\right) \frac{\partial u_{(i)}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right)+O\left((\varepsilon \pi)^{2}\right)=0 .(i)=r, \theta, z \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that the coefficient of $\varepsilon^{q}$ in the expansion (26) for $(i)=r ; \theta$ is equal to zero. Consequently, according this assumption, we obtain the end conditions for the first and subsequent approximations for the displacements $u_{r}$ and $u_{\theta}$.

Taking the estimations $\left(\delta_{k}^{3}+\partial u_{3}^{(0)} / \partial x_{k}\right) \approx \delta_{k}^{3},\left(\delta_{k}^{1}+\partial u_{1}^{(0)} / \partial x_{k}\right) \approx \delta_{k}^{1}$ and the expansions (23)-(26) into account we obtain the following end conditions for the stresses for the zeroth and first approximations from the condition (22).

For zeroth approximation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{33}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0\right)=\sigma_{33}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell\right)-p \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi \sigma_{31}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)+\sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_{3}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)}{\partial x_{k}}-\pi x_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{k}}\right)+ \\
& \left(\sigma_{33}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)-\pi x_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{33}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, 0, t\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right)=0, \\
& \pi \sigma_{31}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)+\sigma_{3 k}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)\left(\frac{\partial u_{3}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)}{\partial x_{k}}+\pi x_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{k}}\right)+ \\
& \left(\sigma_{33}^{(1)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)+\pi x_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma_{33}^{(0)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ell, t\right)}{\partial x_{3}}\right)=0 . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, rewriting the condition (26) in the cylindrical system of coordinates $\operatorname{Or} \theta z$ we obtain the condition (17).

According to (15), (16) and (17), the values related to the zroth approximation are determined as follows.
$\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}=-p, \sigma_{(i j)}^{(0)}=0$, for $\quad(i j) \neq z z$,
It follows from (29) that in the zeroth approximation the components of the displacement vector can be presented as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{r}^{(0)}=a(t) r+a_{0}, u_{\theta}^{(0)}=b_{0}, u_{z}^{(0)}=c(t) z+c_{0}, \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, b_{0}$ and $c_{0}$ are constants, $a(t)$ and $c(t)$ are functions, $t$ is a time. The functions $a(t)$ and $c(t)$ can be easily determined from equations (19) and (29).

Now we consider the determination the values related the first approximation. Taking the expression (29) into account the following field equations are obtained from Eq. (18) for this approximation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial \sigma_{r r}^{(1)}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{r \theta}^{(1)}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{r z}^{(1)}}{\partial z}+\frac{1}{r}\left(\sigma_{r r}^{(1)}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}^{(1)}\right)+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}^{(1)}}{\partial z^{2}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial \sigma_{r \theta}^{(1)}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta \theta}^{(1)}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta z}^{(1)}}{\partial z}+\frac{2}{r} \sigma_{r \theta}^{(1)}+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{\theta}^{(1)}}{\partial z^{2}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial \sigma_{r z}^{(1)}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\theta z}^{(1)}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z z}^{(1)}}{\partial z}+\frac{1}{r} \sigma_{r z}^{(1)}+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}^{(1)}}{\partial z^{2}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

# $\varepsilon_{r r}^{(1)}=\frac{\partial u_{r}^{(1)}}{\partial r}, \quad \varepsilon_{\theta \theta}^{(1)}=\frac{\partial u_{\theta}^{(1)}}{r \partial \theta}+\frac{u_{r}^{(1)}}{r}, \varepsilon_{z z}^{(1)}=\frac{\partial u_{z}^{(1)}}{\partial z}, \quad \varepsilon_{r \theta}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{r}^{(1)}}{r \partial \theta}+\frac{\partial u_{\theta}^{(1)}}{\partial r}-\frac{u_{\theta}^{(1)}}{r}\right)$, <br> $\varepsilon_{\theta z}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{\theta}^{(1)}}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial u_{z}^{(1)}}{r \partial \theta}\right), \varepsilon_{z r}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{z}^{(1)}}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial u_{r}^{(1)}}{\partial z}\right)$. 

The following conditions on the lateral surface of the cylinder are obtained from (20) and (29).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r r}^{(1)}\left(R, \theta, t_{3}, t\right)=0, \sigma_{r \theta}^{(1)}\left(R, \theta, t_{3}, t\right)=0, \sigma_{r z}^{(1)}\left(R, \theta, t_{3}, t\right)=2 \pi \sigma_{z z}^{(0)} \cos (\alpha z) \cos \theta, \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Eqs. (26) and (28), the end conditions fort he first approximation can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{z Z}^{(1)}(r, \theta, 0, t)+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)} \frac{\partial u_{z}^{(1)}(r, \theta, 0, t)}{\partial z}=0, u_{r}^{(1)}(r, \theta, 0, t)=0, u_{\theta}^{(1)}(r, \theta, 0, t)=0 \\
& \sigma_{z z}^{(1)}(r, \theta, \ell, t)+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)} \frac{\partial u_{z}^{(1)}(r, \theta, \ell, t)}{\partial z}=0, u_{r}^{(1)}(r, \theta, \ell, t)=0, u_{\theta}^{(1)}(r, \theta, \ell, t)=0 . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the equation (31), (19), (5) and boundary conditions (32), (33) complete the formulation of the problem for determination the values of the first approximation. For solution to this problem we apply the Laplace transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{st}} \mathrm{dt} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with parameter $\mathrm{s}>0$, to all equations and relations related to the first approximation. After this applying the equation (31), boundary conditions (32) (in which $\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}$ must be replaced with $\sigma_{z z}^{(0)} / s$ ) and (33) are valid for the Laplace transforms of the corresponding sought-for quantities, where as constitutive relations (19) are transformed to the following ones:
$\bar{\sigma}_{r r}^{(1)}=\bar{A}_{11}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{r r}^{(1)}+\bar{A}_{12}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\theta \theta}^{(1)}+\bar{A}_{13}^{*} \overline{\bar{G}}_{z z}^{(1)} ; \bar{\sigma}_{\theta \theta}^{(1)}=\bar{A}_{12}^{*} \overline{\bar{q}}_{r r}^{(1)}+\bar{A}_{11}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\theta \theta}^{(1)}+\bar{A}_{13}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{z z}^{(1)} ;$
$\bar{\sigma}_{z z}^{(1)}=\bar{A}_{13}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{r r}^{(1)}+\bar{A}_{13}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\theta \theta}^{(1)}+\bar{A}_{33}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{z z}^{(1)} ; \bar{\sigma}_{r \theta}^{(1)}=\left(\bar{A}_{11}^{*}-\bar{A}_{22}^{*}\right) \bar{\varepsilon}_{r \theta}^{(1)} ;$
$\bar{\sigma}_{r z}^{(1)}=2 \bar{G}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{r z}^{(1)} ; \bar{\sigma}_{\theta z}^{(1)}=2 \bar{G}^{*} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\theta z}^{(1)}$,
where
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\bar{A}_{i j}^{*} \\ \bar{G}^{*}\end{array}\right\} \bar{\phi}(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}A_{i j 0} \\ G_{0}\end{array}\right\} \bar{\phi}(s)+\left\{\begin{array}{l}\bar{A}_{i j 1}(s) \\ \bar{G}_{1}(s)\end{array}\right\} \bar{\phi}(s)$,
As has been noted above, Eqs. (31)-(36) coincide with the corresponding equations of the TDLTS, therefore to solve the obtained equation systems, according to $[6,7]$ in the cylindrical system of coordinates we can use the following representations.
$\bar{u}_{r}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r \partial z} \chi \mathbb{S}, \bar{u}_{\theta}^{(1)}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta \partial z} \chi \otimes$,
$\bar{u}_{z}^{(1)}=\left(\bar{A}_{13}^{*}+\bar{G}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\bar{A}_{11}^{*} \Delta_{1}+\left(\bar{G}^{*}+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) \chi, \Delta_{1}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}$.
The functions $\psi$ and $\chi$ are determined from the equations.
$\left(\Delta_{1}+\xi_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) \psi=0,\left(\Delta_{1}+\xi_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right)\left(\Delta_{1}+\xi_{3}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) \chi=0$,
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{1}^{2}=\frac{2 \bar{G}^{*}}{\bar{A}_{11}^{*}-\bar{A}_{12}^{*}}, \xi_{2,3}^{2}=c \pm\left(c^{2}-\frac{\left(\bar{A}_{33}^{*}+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}\right)\left(\bar{G}^{*}+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}\right)}{\bar{A}_{11}^{*} \bar{G}^{*}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& 2 \bar{A}_{11}^{*} \bar{G}^{*} c=\bar{A}_{11}^{*}\left(\bar{A}_{33}^{*}+\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}\right)+\bar{G}^{* 2}-\left(\bar{A}_{13}^{*}+\bar{G}^{*}\right)^{2} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the expressions of the right sides of the conditions (32) and (33) we find the solution to the equations (38) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=B_{1} I_{1}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right) \sin (\alpha z) \sin \theta, \chi=\left[B_{2} I_{1}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)+B_{3} I_{1}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)\right] \cos (\alpha z) \cos \theta, \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{1}(x)$ is the first order Bessel function of a purely imaginary argument, $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ are unknown constants. Substituting these solutions into relations (37) and (35) we obtain the following expressions for Laplace transform of the south values.
$\bar{u}_{r}^{(1)}=\left[B_{1} \frac{1}{r} I_{1}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right)+B_{2} \xi_{2} \alpha^{2} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)+B_{3} \xi_{3} \alpha^{2} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)\right] \sin (\alpha z) \cos \theta$,
$\bar{u}_{\theta}^{(1)}=\left[-B_{1} \xi_{1} \alpha I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right)-\frac{\alpha}{r} B_{2} I_{1}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)-\frac{\alpha}{r} B_{3} I_{1}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)\right] \sin (\alpha z) \sin \theta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{u}_{z}^{(1)}=\left[B_{2} D \alpha^{2} I_{1}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)+B_{3} D \alpha^{2} I_{1}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)\right] \sin (\alpha z) \cos \theta, D=\frac{\bar{A}_{11}^{*}-\bar{G}^{*}-\sigma_{z z}^{(0)}}{\bar{A}_{13}^{*}+\bar{G}^{*}}, \\
& \bar{\sigma}_{r r}^{(1)}=\left\{B_{1}\left[-\left(\bar{A}_{11}^{*}-\bar{A}_{12}^{*}\right) \frac{1}{r^{2}} I_{1}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right)+\left(\bar{A}_{11}^{*}-\bar{A}_{12}^{*}\right) \frac{\xi_{1} \alpha}{r} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right)\right]+\right. \\
& B_{2}\left[\bar{A}_{11}^{*} \alpha^{3} \xi_{2}^{2} I_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)+\bar{A}_{12}^{*}\left(\frac{-\alpha}{r^{2}} I_{1}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)+\frac{\xi_{2} \alpha}{r} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)\right)+\bar{A}_{13}^{*} D \alpha^{3} I_{1}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)\right]+ \\
& \left.\left.\bar{A}_{13}^{*} D \alpha^{3} I_{1}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)\right]\right\} \sin (\alpha z) \cos \theta \\
& \bar{\sigma}_{r \theta}^{(1)}=\left\{B_{1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{A}_{11}^{*}-\bar{A}_{12}^{*}\right)\left(-\xi_{1}^{2} \alpha^{2} I_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right)-\frac{1}{r^{2}} I_{1}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right)+\frac{\xi_{1} \alpha}{r} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right)\right)\right]+\right. \\
& \left.B_{2}\left[\left(\bar{A}_{11}^{*}-\bar{A}_{12}^{*}\right) \frac{\alpha}{r^{2}} I_{1}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)\right]+B_{3}\left[\left(\bar{A}_{11}^{*}-\bar{A}_{12}^{*}\right) \frac{\alpha}{r^{2}} I_{1}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)\right]\right\} \sin (\alpha z) \sin \theta ; \\
& \bar{\sigma}_{r z}^{(1)}=\left\{B_{1} \bar{G}^{*} \frac{\alpha}{r} I_{1}\left(\xi_{1} \alpha r\right)+B_{2} \bar{G}^{*} \alpha^{3} \xi_{2} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)(1+D)+\right. \\
& \left.B_{2} \bar{G}^{*} \alpha^{3} \xi_{2} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)(1+D)\right\} \cos (\alpha z) \cos \theta ; \\
& \bar{\sigma}_{z z}^{(1)}=\left\{B_{2}\left[\bar{A}_{13}^{*}\left(\xi_{2}^{2} \alpha^{3} I_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)-\frac{\alpha}{r^{2}} I_{1}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)+\frac{\alpha^{2} \xi_{2}}{r} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)\right)-\bar{A}_{33}^{*} D \alpha^{3} I_{1}\left(\xi_{2} \alpha r\right)\right]+\right. \\
& \left.B_{3}\left[\bar{A}_{13}^{*}\left(\xi_{3}^{2} \alpha^{3} I_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)-\frac{\alpha}{r^{2}} I_{1}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)+\frac{\alpha^{2} \xi_{3}}{r} I_{1}^{\prime}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)\right)-\bar{A}_{33}^{*} D \alpha^{3} I_{1}\left(\xi_{3} \alpha r\right)\right]\right\} \sin (\alpha z) \cos \theta . \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from the expression (41) that the selected solution to the problem under consideration satisfies automatically the end condition (33). Replacing the unknowns $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$ with $\alpha^{2} B_{1}\left(=C_{1}\right), \quad \alpha^{3} B_{2}\left(=C_{2}\right)$ and $\alpha^{3} B_{3}\left(=C_{3}\right)$, respectively, we obtain the following algebraic equation from the boundary condition (32) for determination these unknowns.
$\bar{\sigma}_{r r}^{(1)}\left(R, \theta, t_{3}, t\right)=0 \Rightarrow C_{1} a_{11}(\alpha R)+C_{2} a_{12}(\alpha R)+C_{3} a_{13}(\alpha R)=0$,
$\bar{\sigma}_{r \theta}^{(1)}\left(R, \theta, t_{3}, t\right)=0 \Rightarrow C_{1} a_{21}(\alpha R)+C_{2} a_{22}(\alpha R)+C_{3} a_{23}(\alpha R)=0$,
$\bar{\sigma}_{r z}^{(1)}\left(R, \theta, t_{3}, t\right)=0 \Rightarrow C_{1} a_{21}(\alpha R)+C_{2} a_{22}(\alpha R)+C_{3} a_{23}(\alpha R)=2 \pi \sigma_{z z}^{(0)} \frac{1}{s}$,
Thus, with the foregoing we determine completely the Laplace transforms of the values related the first approximation. The Laplace transform of the values of the second and subsequent approximations in (14) can also be determined as the values of the first approximation by taking the obvious changes into account. However, as shown in the works [5, 6], for stability loss problems, the consideration of only the zeroth and first approximation is sufficient, because accounting the second and subsequent approximation does not change the values of the critical parameters.

The original of the south values is determined by employing Schapery [4] method, according to which, for instance, the original of the displacement $u_{r}^{(1)}\left(r, \theta, t_{3}, t\right)$ is determined through the expression
$\left.u_{r}^{(1)}\left(r, \theta, t_{3}, t\right) \approx\left(s \bar{u}_{r}^{(1)}\left(r, \theta, t_{3}, s\right)\right)\right|_{s=1 /(2 t)}$
Now we consider the selection of the stability loss criterion. In the present investigation, the case will be understood under stability loss, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\substack{t_{3} \in(0, \ell) \\ r \in(0, R)}}\left|u_{r}^{(1)}\left(r, \theta, t_{3}, t\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty \text { as } t \rightarrow t_{c r} \text {. (or as } p \rightarrow p_{c r} \text {. for the pure elastic case). } \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the values of the critical time or the values of the critical force are determined from the initial imperfection criterion (44).

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We assume that the cylinder is made from viscoelastic unidirectional fibrous composite material and the fibers in that lie along the $O z$ axis. In the discussions below, the values related to the matrix and the fibers will be denoted by upper indices (1) and (2), respectively. The material of the fibers is supposed to be pure elastic with Young's modulus $E^{(2)}$, Poisson coefficient $v^{(2)}$, Lame's constants $\lambda^{(2)}, \mu^{(2)}$, but the material of the matrix is supposed to be linearly viscoelastic with operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{*(1)} \varphi=E_{0}^{(1)}\left[\varphi(t)-\omega_{0} \Pi_{\beta}^{*}\left(-\omega_{0}-\omega_{\infty}\right) \varphi\right], \\
& v^{*(1)} \varphi=v_{0}^{(1)}\left[\varphi(t)-\frac{1-2 v_{0}^{(1)}}{2 v_{0}^{(1)}} \omega_{0} \Pi_{\beta}^{*}\left(-\omega_{0}-\omega_{\infty}\right) \varphi\right], \\
& \lambda^{*(1)} \varphi=\lambda_{0}^{(1)}\left[\varphi(t)-\frac{1-2 v_{0}^{(1)}}{2 v_{0}^{(1)}\left(1+v_{0}^{(1)}\right)} \omega_{0} \Pi_{\beta}^{*}\left(-\frac{3}{2\left(1+v_{0}^{(1)}\right)} \omega_{0}-\omega_{\infty}\right) \varphi\right], \\
& \mu^{*(1)} \varphi=\mu_{0}^{(1)}\left[\varphi(t)-\frac{3}{2 v_{0}^{(1)}\left(1+v_{0}^{(1)}\right)} \omega_{0} \Pi_{\beta}^{*}\left(-\frac{3}{2\left(1+v_{0}^{(1)}\right)} \omega_{0}-\omega_{\infty}\right) \varphi\right], \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{0}^{(1)}, v_{0}^{(1)}$ are the instantaneous values of Young's modulus and the Poisson coefficient, respectively, $\lambda_{0}^{(1)}, \mu_{0}^{(1)}$ are the instantaneous values of Lame's constants, $\beta, \omega_{0}$ and $\omega_{\infty}$ are the rheological parameters of the matrix material, $\Pi_{\beta}^{*}$ is the fractional exponential operator of Rabotnov [8], and this operator is determined as
$\Pi_{\beta}^{*}(x) \varphi=\int_{0}^{t} \Pi_{\beta}(x, t-\tau) d \tau$,
where
$\Pi_{\beta}(x, t)=t^{\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n} t^{n(1+\beta)}}{\Gamma((1+n)(1+\beta))}, \quad-1<\beta<0$,
where $\Gamma(x)$ is the Gamma function.
We introduce the dimensionless rheological parameter $\omega\left(=\omega_{\infty} / \omega_{0}\right)$ and the dimensionless time $t^{\prime}\left(=\omega_{0}^{1 /(1+\beta)} t\right)$ and assume that $v^{(2)}=v_{0}^{(1)}=0.3, \eta^{(2)}=0.5$, where $\eta^{(2)}$ is a fiber concentration in the composite under consideration.

It is known that, within the scope of the continuum approach this composite can be taken as homogeneous transversal isotropic one the isotropy axis of which coincide with the Oz axis. According to [9], by replacing the mechanical constants of components of a composite with Laplace transform of corresponding operators in the expressions of the effective mechanical properties, we determine the Laplace transform of the effective operators. Therefore, in the Laplace transform of the constitutive relations (35) instead of $\bar{A}_{i j}^{*}$ and $\bar{G}^{*}$ we write these expressions. For the considered composite material the expressions for $\bar{A}_{i j}^{*}$ and $\bar{G}^{*}$ are determined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{A}_{33}^{*}=\bar{E}_{3}^{*}+4\left(\bar{v}_{31}^{*}\right)^{2} \bar{K}_{21}^{*}, \bar{A}_{13}^{*}=2 \bar{v}_{31}^{*} \bar{K}_{21}^{*}, \bar{A}_{11}^{*}=\bar{\mu}_{12}^{*}+\bar{K}_{12}^{*}, \bar{A}_{12}^{*}=-\bar{\mu}_{12}^{*}+\bar{K}_{12}^{*}, \\
& \bar{G}^{*}=\bar{\mu}^{(1)} \frac{\mu^{(2)}\left(1+\eta^{(2)}\right)+\bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right)}{\mu^{(2)}\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right)+\bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left(1+\eta^{(2)}\right)}, \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{K}_{12}^{*}=\bar{K}^{(1)}+\frac{1}{3} \bar{\mu}^{(1)}+\eta^{(2)}\left[\left(\frac{1}{3}\left(\mu^{(2)}-\bar{\mu}^{(1)}\right)+K^{(2)}-\bar{K}^{(1)}\right)^{-1}+\frac{\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right)}{\bar{K}^{(1)}+\frac{4}{3} \bar{\mu}^{(1)}}\right]^{-1}, \\
& \bar{E}_{3}^{*}=\eta^{(2)} E^{(2)}+\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right) \bar{E}^{(1)}+ \\
& \frac{4 \eta^{(2)}\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right)\left(v^{(2)}-\bar{v}^{(1)}\right) \bar{\mu}^{(1)}}{\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right) \bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left(K^{(2)}+\mu^{(2)} / 3\right)^{-1}+\eta^{(2)} \bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left(\bar{K}^{(1)}+\bar{\mu}^{(1)} / 3\right)^{-1}}, \\
& \bar{v}_{31}^{*}=\eta^{(2)} v^{(2)}+\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right) \bar{v}^{(1)}+ \\
& \frac{4 \eta^{(2)}\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right)\left(v^{(2)}-\bar{v}^{(1)}\right)\left[\bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left(\bar{K}^{(1)}+\bar{\mu}^{(1)} / 3\right)^{-1}-\bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left(K^{(2)}+\mu^{(2)} / 3\right)^{-1}\right]}{\left(1-\eta^{(2)}\right) \bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left(K^{(2)}+\mu^{(2)} / 3\right)^{-1}+\eta^{(2)} \bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left(\bar{K}^{(1)}+\bar{\mu}^{(1)} / 3\right)^{-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\mu}_{12}^{*}=\bar{\mu}^{(1)}\left\{1+\eta^{(2)}\left[\frac{\bar{\mu}^{(1)}}{\mu^{(2)}-\bar{\mu}^{(1)}}+\frac{\bar{K}^{(1)}+7 \bar{\mu}^{(1)} / 3}{\bar{K}^{(1)}+8 \bar{\mu}^{(1)} / 3}\right]^{-1}\right\} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the following notation is used:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{K}^{(1)}=\frac{\bar{E}^{(1)}}{3\left(1-2 \bar{\nu}^{(1)}\right)}, K^{(2)}=\frac{E^{(2)}}{3\left(1-2 \nu^{(2)}\right)}, \\
& \bar{E}^{*(1)}=E_{0}^{(1)}\left[1-\bar{\Pi}_{\beta}^{(-\omega)}\right], \quad \bar{v}^{*(1)}=v_{0}^{(1)}\left[1-\frac{1-2 v_{0}^{(1)}}{2 v_{0}^{(1)}} \bar{\Pi}(-\omega)\right], \\
& \bar{\lambda}^{*(1)}=\lambda_{0}^{(1)}\left[1-\frac{1-2 v_{0}^{(1)}}{2 v_{0}^{(1)}\left(1+v_{0}^{(1)}\right)} \bar{\Pi}_{\beta}^{\left.\left(-\frac{3}{2\left(1+v_{0}^{(1)}\right)}-\omega\right)\right],}\right. \\
& \bar{\mu}^{*(1)}=\mu_{0}^{(1)}\left[1-\frac{3}{2 v_{0}^{(1)}\left(1+v_{0}^{(1)}\right)} \Pi_{\beta}^{\left.\left(-{\frac{3}{2\left(1+v_{0}^{(1)}\right)}}-\omega\right)\right], \quad \bar{\Pi}_{\beta}(x)=\frac{1}{s^{1+\beta}+x} .}\right. \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, within the framework of he foregoing preparation we consider numerical results and first examine the pure elastic stability loss under $t^{\prime}=0$ and $t^{\prime}=\infty$. Note that the problem under consideration is the 3D generalization of the stability loss of the simply-supported Bernoulli beam. Therefore, we can compare the values of the critical forces $p^{\prime}{ }_{c r .0}=p_{\text {cr. } 0} / E_{0}^{(1)}$ and $p^{\prime}{ }_{c r . \infty}=p_{c r . \infty} / E_{0}^{(1)}$ which are attained at $t^{\prime}=0$ and $t^{\prime}=\infty$, respectively, with those calculated according to the Euler expression for critical force:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {Eu.cr. } 0}=\frac{\pi^{2} E_{30} J}{\ell^{2}}, \quad P_{\text {Eu.cr. } . \infty}=\frac{\pi^{2} E_{3 \infty 0} J}{\ell^{2}}, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\frac{\pi R^{4}}{4}, E_{30}=\left.E_{3}^{*}\right|_{s=\infty}, \quad E_{3 \infty}=\left.E_{3}^{*}\right|_{s=0} . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this purpose we rewrite the expression (51) as follows [10].

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{\text {Eu.cr. } 0}^{\prime}=\left(P_{\text {Eu.cr. } 0} / E_{0}^{(1)}\right) /\left(\pi R^{2}\right)=\frac{E_{30}}{E_{0}^{(1)}}\left(\frac{\pi R}{\ell}\right)^{2}, \\
& p_{\text {Eu.cr. } . \infty}^{\prime}=\left(P_{\text {Eu.cr. } \infty} / E_{0}^{(1)}\right) /\left(\pi R^{2}\right)=\frac{E_{3 \infty}}{E_{0}^{(1)}}\left(\frac{\pi R}{\ell}\right)^{2} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Introduce the parameter $\rho=\pi R / \ell$ and consider the cases where $\rho=0.1$ and $\rho=0.2$.
Table 1 shows the values of $p^{\prime}{ }_{c r .0}$ (upper number), $p^{\prime}{ }_{\text {Eu.cr. } 0}$ (lower number), $p^{\prime}{ }_{c r . \infty}$ (upper
number), and $p_{\text {Eu.cr. } \infty}^{\prime}$ (lower number)under $\omega=0.5$. These values are obtained for various $E^{(2)} / E_{0}^{(1)}$ under $\omega=0.5, \rho=0.1$ and $\rho=0.2$.

Table 1.

| $E^{(2)}$ | $\rho=0.1$ |  | $\rho=0.2$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{E_{0}^{(1)}}$ | $\frac{p_{\text {cr. } 0}^{\prime}}{p_{\text {Eu.cr. } 0}^{\prime}}$ | $\frac{p_{c r, \infty}^{\prime}}{p_{\text {Eu.cr, }, \infty}^{\prime}}$ | $\frac{p_{\text {cr. } 0}^{\prime}}{p_{\text {Eu.cr. } 0}^{\prime}}$ | $\frac{p_{\text {cr, } \infty}^{\prime}}{p_{\text {Eu,cr, }, \infty}^{\prime}}$ |
| 1 | $\frac{-0.00247}{-0.00250}$ | $\frac{-0.00164}{-0.00166}$ | $\frac{-0.00963}{-0.01000}$ | $\frac{-0.00638}{-0.00666}$ |
| 5 | $\frac{-0.00740}{-0.00750}$ | $\frac{-0.00649}{-0.00708}$ | $\frac{-0.02849}{-0.03000}$ | $\frac{-0.02419}{-0.02530}$ |
| 10 | $\frac{-0.01348}{-0.01375}$ | $\frac{-0.01236}{-0.01332}$ | $\frac{-0.05105}{-0.05500}$ | $\frac{-0.04390}{-0.05330}$ |
| 20 | $\frac{-0.02543}{-0.02625}$ | $\frac{-0.02348}{-0.02582}$ | $\frac{-0.09317}{-0.10500}$ | $\frac{-0.07663}{-0.10330}$ |
| 50 | $\frac{-0.05959}{-0.06375}$ | $\frac{-0.05262}{-0.06333}$ | $\frac{-0.19972}{-0.25500}$ | $\frac{-0.14176}{-0.25330}$ |

Table 2.

| $E^{(2)}$ | $\rho=0.1$ |  | $\rho=0.2$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\frac{p}{E_{0}^{(1)}}$ | $t_{c r .}^{\prime}$ | $\frac{p}{E_{0}^{(1)}}$ | $t_{\text {cr. }}^{\prime}$ |
| 1 | -0.00206 | 0.22950 | -0.00801 | 0.23020 |
| 5 | -0.00695 | 0.26510 | -0.02634 | 0.37420 |
| 10 | -0.01292 | 0.40560 | -0.04748 | 0.67850 |
| 20 | -0.02446 | 0.75670 | -0.08490 | 1.01580 |
| 50 | -0.05611 | 1.28540 | -0.17074 | 0.94840 |

It follows from the Table 1 that the difference between the results obtained within the 3D and within the Bernoulli beam theory approaches increases with $E^{(2)} / E_{0}^{(1)}$ and with $\rho$. This difference has a significance meaning under investigation of the stability loss of the viscoelastic cylinder. Because, for the occurrence of the viscoelastic stability loss the values of the external compressive force must satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{c r . \infty}<p<p_{\text {cr. } 0} . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that, for some selected $p$ the stability loss of the viscoelastic cylinder which occurs within the 3D approach may be does not occur within the Bernoulli beam theory approach. For illustration this conclusion we consider some numerical results regarding $t^{\prime}$ cr. given in Table 2. Note that these results are obtained within the 3D approach developed in the present paper. According to the relation (54) and according to the results given in Table 1, under $p=-0.17074$
selected in the case $\rho=0.2, E^{(2)} / E_{0}^{(1)}=50, \omega=0.5, \beta=-0.5$ (see Table 2), the stability loss of the cylinder-beam can not occur within the Bernoulli beam theory approaches.

Table 3.

| $\beta$ | $p \times 10^{2} / E_{0}^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.637 | 0.650 | 0.660 | 0.670 | 0.680 | 0.690 | 0.700 | 0.710 |
|  | $t_{\text {3..cr. }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -0.3 | 10.59 | 3.341 | 1.726 | 0.967 | 0.561 | 0.328 | 0.187 | 0.100 |
| -0.5 | 35.92 | 7.143 | 2.835 | 1.258 | 0.588 | 0.277 | 0.126 | 0.052 |
| -0.7 | 620.9 | 42.05 | 9.015 | 2.331 | 0.655 | 0.187 | 0.050 | 0.011 |

Consequently, under investigation of the stability loss problems of the cylinder from viscoelastic composite materials in many cases it is necessary to employ the 3D approach described in the present paper.

Table 3 shows the values of $t_{c r .}^{\prime}$ obtained for various values of $p / E_{0}^{(1)}$ and $\beta$ under $\rho=0.1, \omega=0.5$ and $E^{(2)} / E_{0}^{(1)}=5$. It follows from the results given in Table 3 that before the certain value of $p / E_{0}^{(1)}\left(\right.$ denoted by $\left.\left(p / E_{0}^{(1)}\right)_{*}\right)$ an increase in the absolute values of the rheological parameter $\beta$ causes to increase of the critical time, but under $p / E_{0}^{(1)}>\left(p / E_{0}^{(1)}\right)_{*}$ an increase in the absolute values of the rheological parameter $\beta$ causes to decrease of the critical time. Note that such character of the influence of the rheological parameter $\beta$ on the values of the critical time was also observed in the resent investigations [11, 12].

Compare the values of $t_{c r}^{\prime}$. with the corresponding ones calculated by employing the critical deformation method [13]. According to this method, it is assumed that the critical deformation of the viscoelastic cylinder is equal to the critical deformation of the corresponding elastic cylinder. Consequently, using this assumption the critical deformation for the pure elastic cylinder is determined within the scope of the TDLTS. Note that in the considered case the critical deformation mentioned corresponds to $p_{c r .0}^{\prime}$. According to this determination, using the relation $p_{c r .0}^{\prime}=p / E_{3}^{*}$ the critical time is determined for the selected values of $p$. The values of the dimensionless critical time (denoted by $t_{c d m . c r .}^{\prime}$.) determined by employing the critical deformation method are given in Table 4. These values are calculated for the case where $\rho=0.1$, $E^{(2)} / E_{0}^{(1)}=5$ and $\omega=0.5$. At the same time, in this table the corresponding values of $t_{c r}^{\prime}$. are also illustrated. Comparison of the values of $t_{c d m . c r}^{\prime}$. with the corresponding values of $t_{c r}^{\prime}$. shows that the critical deformation method is not acceptable for determination of the critical time for the stability loss of the cylinder made from viscoelastic composite material.

Table 4.

| $\beta$ | $p \times 10^{2} / E_{0}^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.660 | 0.670 | 0.680 | 0.690 | 0.700 | 0.710 | 0.720 |
|  | $t_{\text {cdm.cr. } / t_{c r .}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -0.3 | $\frac{52.77}{1.726}$ | $\frac{52.77}{0.967}$ | $\frac{1.179}{0.561}$ | $\frac{0.532}{0.328}$ | $\frac{0.262}{0.187}$ | $\frac{0.127}{0.100}$ | $\frac{0.055}{0.046}$ |
| -0.5 | $\frac{340.2}{2.835}$ | $\frac{7.577}{1.259}$ | $\frac{1.662}{0.588}$ | $\frac{0.545}{0.277}$ | $\frac{0.202}{0.126}$ | $\frac{0.074}{0.052}$ | $\frac{0.023}{0.018}$ |
| -0.7 | $\frac{26326}{9.015}$ | $\frac{46.40}{2.331}$ | $\frac{3.701}{0.655}$ | $\frac{0.578}{0.187}$ | $\frac{0.110}{0.050}$ | $\frac{0.020}{0.011}$ | $\frac{0.0029}{0.0019}$ |

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Thus, in the present paper the 3D approach proposed in the works [1-3,5,6] is employed and developed for the study of the 3D stability loss of the cylinder with circular cross section made from viscoelastic transversally isotropic material. The problem considered can be taken as 3D generalization of the classical stability loss problem for simply supported Bernoulli beam.

The numerical results on the critical forces and on the critical time are presented and discussed.

According to these results, in particular, it is established that for the study of the stability loss of cylinder made from strongly anisotropic viscoelastic materials it is necessary to use 3D approach proposed in the works $[1-3,5,6]$.
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