

# ON THE REGULARIZED TRACE OF THE DIFFERANTIAL OPERATOR EQUATION GIVEN IN A FINITE INTERVAL

## Ehliman ADIGÜZELOV, Özlem BAKŞİ\*

Yıldız Teknik Üniversitesi, Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi, Matematik Bölümü, Davutpaşa-İSTANBUL

Geliş /Received: 17.06.2003 Kabul/Accepted: 07.01.2004

#### SONLU BİR ARALIKTA VERİLMİŞ DİFERANSİYEL OPERATÖR DENKLEMİNİN DÜZENLİ İZİ ÜZERİNE

#### ÖZET

Bu çalışmada, sonlu bir aralıkta verilmiş sınırsız operatör katsayılı ikinci mertebeden diferansiyel operatörün düzenli izi için bir formül bulunmuştur.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Hilbert uzayı, Kendine eş operator, çekirdek operatör

#### ABSTRACT

In this work; a formula for the regularized trace of second order differential operator, which is given in a finite interval and with unbounded operator coefficient, is found. **Keywords:** Hilbert space, Self-adjoints operator, Kernel operator

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let H be a separable Hilbert space. We denote the inner product in H by  $(.,.)_H$  and the norm in H by  $\|.\|_H$ . The function f is strongly measurable belonging to H defined on [0,p] and satisfies the

condition  $\int_{0}^{p} ||f(x)||_{H}^{2} dx < \infty$  The set of all functions f is denoted by

 $H_1 = L_2(H; [0, p])$ . If the inner product of arbitrary two elements f and g of the space  $H_1$  is defined as

$$(f,g) = \int_{0}^{p} (f(x),g(x))_{H} dx$$
 (1.1)

then  $H_1$  becomes a separable Hilbert space, [1]. The norm in the space  $H_1$  is denoted by  $\|.\|$ .  $s_{\infty}(H)$  denotes the set of compact operators from H to H. If  $B \in s_{\infty}(H)$  then  $B^*B$  is a non-negative self-adjoint operator and  $(B^*B)^{1/2} \in s_{\infty}(H)$ , [2]. Let the non-zero eigenvalues of the

<sup>\*</sup> Sorumlu Yazar/Corresponding Author: e-mail: <u>baksi@vildiz.edu.tr</u>; tel: (0212) 449 1528

<sup>47</sup> 

(1.5)

operator  $(B * B)^{1/2}$  be  $s_1 \ge s_2 \ge ... \ge s_k$   $(0 \le k \le \infty)$ . Here, each eigenvalue is repeated according to multiplicity. The numbers of  $s_1, s_2, ..., s_k$  are called s-numbers of the operator B. If  $k < \infty$  then  $s_j = 0$  (j = k + 1, k + 2, ...) will be accepted. s-numbers of the operator B is also denoted by  $s_k(B)$  (k=1,2,...). If B is a normal operator, that is B\*B=BB\* then  $s_k(B) = |I_k(B)|$  (k=1,2,...), [2].

Here,  $l_1(B), l_2(B), ..., l_k(B)$  are the non-zero eigenvalues of the operator B.  $s_1$  or  $s_1(H)$  is the set of all the operators  $B \in s_{\infty}(H)$  the s-numbers of which satisfy the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k(B) < \infty$$
 . The set  $s_1$  is a separable Banach space [2] with respect to the norm

$$\|B\|_{S_1(H)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k(B) \quad B \in S_1$$
 (1.2)

An operator is called a kernel operator if it belongs to  $s_1(H)$ . If the operator  $A \in s_1(H)$  and the operator  $B: H \to H$  is linear and bounded then  $AB, BA \in s_1(H)$  and

$$\|BA\|_{S_1(H)} \le \|B\| \|A\|_{S_1(H)} , \ \|AB\|_{S_1(H)} \le \|B\| \|A\|_{S_1(H)}$$
(1.3)

[2]. If  $B \in S_1(H)$  and  $\{e_k\}_1^{\infty} \subset H$  is any orthonormal basis, the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Be_k, e_k)_H$  is convergent

and the sum of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Be_k, e_k)_H$  does not depend on the choice of the basis  $\{e_k\}_1^{\infty}$ . The

sum of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (Be_k, e_k)_H$  is said to be matrix trace and is denoted by trB. If  $A, B \in S_1(H)$ and a, b is any scalar then

$$tr(aA + bB) = atrA + btrB$$
,  $trA^* = \overline{trA}$ , and  $trB = \sum_{k=1}^{u(A)} I_k(B)$  (1.4)

In the last equality, each eigenvalue is added according to its own algebraic multiplicity number. u(A) denotes the sum of algebraic multiplicity of non-zero eigenvalues of the operator B, [2]. The sum of the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{u(A)} I_k(B)$  is said to be the spectral trace of the operator B.Recall that a self-adjoint operator is said to have purely-discrete spectrum if its spectrum consist of eigenvalues  $\{I_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of finite multiplicity and  $\lim |I_n| = \infty$ .

Let us consider the differential expression in the space  $H_1 = L_2(H, [0, p])$ 

 $l_0(y) = -y''(x) + Ay(x)$ 

Here, a densely defined operator  $A: D(A) \rightarrow H$  in the space H satisfies the conditions

 $A = A^* \ge I$ ,  $A^{-1} \in \mathbf{S}_{\infty}(H)$ , (*I* is identity operator in H)

Let  $g_1 \le g_2 \le ... \le g_j \le ...$  be the eigenvalues of the operator A and  $j_1, j_2, ..., j_j, ...$  be the orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to these eigenvalues.

Moreover,  $D_0$  denotes the set of the functions y(x) satisfying the conditions:

(1) y(x) has continuous derivative of the second order with respect to the norm in the space H in the interval [0, p]

(2) Ay(x) is continuous with respect to the norm in the space H.

(3) y'(0) = y'(p) = 0

Here  $\overline{D_0} = H_1$  ( $\overline{D_0}$  denoted by closure of  $D_0$ ) and the operator  $L_0' = D_0 \rightarrow H_1$ ,  $L_0'y = l_0(y)$  is symmetric. The eigenvalues of  $L_0'$  are  $k^2 + g_j$  (k=0,1,2,...; j=1,2,...) and the orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to these eigenvalues are  $M_k \cos kx j_j$  (k=0,1,2,...; j=1,2,...). Here,

$$M_{k} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{p^{-1}} & ; if \quad k = 0 \\ \\ \sqrt{2p^{-1}} & ; if \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$
(1.6)

As seen, the orthonormal eigenvectors system of the symmetric operator  $L_0^{'}$  is an orthonormal basis in the space  $H_1$ .

Let Q(x) be an operator function satisfying the following conditions:

(1) Q(x) has weak derivative of the second order in the interval [0,p]. The operator function Q''(x) is weakly measurable, and for every  $x \in [0,p]$ ,  $Q^{(i)}(x) : H \to H$  (*i* = 0,1,2) are self-adjoint compact operators.

(2) The functions  $\left\|Q^{(i)}(x)\right\|_{S_1(H)}$  (i = 0,1,2) are bounded and measurable in the interval [0,p]. (3) For every  $f \in H \int_{0}^{p} (Q(x)f, f)_H dx = 0$ .

In this work, we find a formula for the eigenvalues of the operators  $L_0 = \overline{L'_0}$  and  $L = L_0 + Q$  and this formula is said to be regularized trace formula.

The regularized trace formulas for scalar differential operators are studied in [3],[4],[5] and in many other works. The list of the works on the subjects is given in [6] and [7], but a small number of these works are on the regularized trace of differential operators with operator coefficient.

In [8], the regularized trace of the Sturm-Lioville operator with bounded operator coefficient is calculated. In [9], a formula for the regularized trace of the difference of two Sturm-Lioville operators which is given in half-axis with the bounded operator coefficient is found. In [10], a formula for the regularized trace of the Sturm-Liouville operator under Dirichlet boundary conditions with unbounded operator coefficient, is found. In [11], the regularized trace of a singular differential operator of second order with bounded operator coefficient is investigated. In [12] and [13], the formulas for the regularized traces of differential operators with bounded operator coefficient are found.

#### 2. SOME RELATIONS ABOUT THE EIGENVALUES AND RESOLVENTS

In this section, we will prove that the operators  $L_0$  and L are self-adjoint and we will find some relations about the eigenvalues and resolvents of the operators  $L_0$  and L.

**Theorem 2.1.** Every symmetric closed operator, the eigenvectors system of which is closed is self-adjoint.

**Proof**. Let H be a separable Hilbert space. Let  $B: D(B) \to H$  be a symmetric operator with  $D(B) \subset H$ ,  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  be an orthonormal system consisting of the eigenvectors of the operator B

and I also be an nonreal number. Since  $(B - II)^{-1}$  is a bounded closed operator, the linear manifold  $D((B - II)^{-1}) = R(B - II)$  is closed. That is, the linear manifold R(B - II) is a subspace of H. On the other hand, since the subspace R(B - II) contains the closed system  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ , then R(B - II) = H similarly,  $R(B - \overline{II}) = H$ 

In this case, as well known, the operator B is self-adjoint. The Theorem 2.1 is proved. •

Since the eigenvectors system of the symmetric operator  $L_0'$  is closed, according to the Theorem 2.1. the operator  $L_0 = \overline{L'_0}$  is self-adjoint and since the bounded operator  $Q: H_1 \to H_1$  is self-adjoint, the operator  $L = L_0 + Q$  is also self-adjoint.

The operators  $L_0$  and L have purely-discrete spectrum. Let the eigenvalues of the operators  $L_0$  and L be  $m_1 \le m_2 \le ... \le m_n \le ...$  and  $l_1 \le l_2 \le ... \le l_n \le ...$  respectively. By using [14], we can prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $g_j \sim aj^a$  as  $j \to \infty$  that is

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{g_j}{aj^a} = 1 \text{ , then as } n \to \infty \quad I_n, \mathbf{m}_n \sim dn^{\frac{2a}{2+a}} \quad (d>0)$$

By using Theorem 2.2., it is easily seen that the sequence  $\{m_n\}$  has a subsequence  $m_{n_1} < m_{n_2} < ... < m_{n_m} < ...$  such that

$$\mathbf{m}_{k} - \mathbf{m}_{n_{m}} \ge d_{0} \left( k^{\frac{2a}{2+a}} - n_{m}^{\frac{2a}{2+a}} \right)$$
  $(k = n_{m}, n_{m} + 1, n_{m} + 2,...)$ 

Let  $R_I^0 = (L_0 - II)^{-1}$  and  $R_I = (L - II)^{-1}$  be the resolvents of the operators  $L_0$  and L

respectively. If a>2 by Theorem 2.2 ,  $R_l^0$  and  $R_l$  are compact operators for  $l\neq m_n, l_n$  (n=1,2,3...) . In this case

$$tr(R_{I} - R_{I}^{0}) = trR_{I} - trR_{I}^{0} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{I_{k} - I} - \frac{1}{m_{k} - I}\right)$$
(2.1)

[2]. Let  $b_m = 2^{-1}(\mathbf{m}_{n_m} + \mathbf{m}_{n_m+1})$ . It easy to see that for the large value of m the inequalities

$$m_{n_m} < b_m < m_{n_m+1}$$
,  $I_{n_m} < b_m < I_{n_m+1}$  are satisfied and the series  
 $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{I_k - I}\right)$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{m_k - I}\right)$ 

are uniform convergent on the circle  $|I| = b_m$ . Therefore by (2.1)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_m} (l_k - m_k) = -\frac{1}{2pi} \int_{|l| = b_m}^{l} fltr(R_l - R_l^0) dl$$
(2.2)

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $g_j \sim a \cdot j^a$   $(0 < a < \infty, 2 < a < \infty)$  as  $j \to \infty$  then

$$\left\|R_{I}^{0}\right\|_{S_{1}(H_{1})} \leq const.n_{m}^{1-d} \qquad \left(d = \frac{a-2}{a+2}\right) \text{ on the circle } \left|I\right| = b_{m}.$$

**Proof.** For  $l \notin \{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  since  $R_l^0$  is a normal operator then

$$\left\| R_{I}^{0} \right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{1}(H_{1})} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left| \boldsymbol{m}_{k} - I \right|}$$

[2]. Since  $|l| = b_m = 2^{-1}(m_{n_m} + m_{n_m+1})$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{R}_{I}^{0} \right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{1}(H_{1})} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left\| I \right\| - m_{k} \right\|} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n_{m}} \frac{2}{m_{n_{m}} + m_{n_{m}+1} - 2m_{k}} + \sum_{k=n_{m}+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2m_{k} - m_{n_{m}} - m_{n_{m}+1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n_{m}} \frac{2}{m_{n_{m}+1} - m_{k}} + \sum_{k=n_{m}+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{m_{k} - m_{n_{m}}} \end{aligned}$$
(2.3)

is obtained. By using the Theorem 2.2, we limit the sums on the right hand side of the inequality above:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_m} \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}_{n_m+1} - \mathbf{m}_k} < \frac{n_m}{\mathbf{m}_{n_m+1} - \mathbf{m}_{n_m}} \le \frac{n_m}{d_0 [(n_m+1)^{1+d} - n_m^{1+d}]} < \frac{n_m}{d_0 n_m^d} = d_0^{-1} n_m^{1-d}$$
(2.4)

$$\sum_{k=n_{m}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_{k} - m_{n_{m}}} \le d_{0}^{-1} \sum_{k=n_{m}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1+d} - n_{m}^{1+d}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{d_{0}[(n_{m} + 1)^{1+d} - n_{m}^{1+d}]} + d_{0}^{-1} \sum_{k=n_{m}+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1+d} - n_{m}^{1+d}}$$
(2.5)

Moreover

$$\sum_{k=n_m+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{1+d} - n_m^{1+d}} \le \int_{n_m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{1+d} - n_m^{1+d}}$$

and it is easily shown that  $\int_{n_m+1}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^{1+d} - n_m^{1+d}} \le d^{-1} n_m^{-\frac{d^2}{1+d}}$ . Considering the last two inequalities in (2.5)

$$\sum_{k=n_m+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k - m_{n_m}} \le \frac{1}{d_0[(n_m + 1)^{1+d} - n_m^{1+d}]} + \frac{n_m^{\frac{d^2}{1+d}}}{d_0 d} \le \frac{2}{d_0 d}$$
(2.6)  
By (2.3), (2.4) and (2.6)  
 $\left\| R_I^0 \right\|_{s_1(H_1)} \le \frac{6}{d_0 d} \cdot n_m^{1-d} \text{ is found. Lemma 2.1 is proved } \bullet .$   
Lemma 2.2. If  $g_j \sim a \cdot j^a$  (0 < a <  $\infty$ , 2 < a <  $\infty$ ) as  $j \to \infty$  and Q is a bounded self

adjoint operator from  $H_1$  to  $H_1$  then,  $|I| = b_m$  and for the large values of m  $||R_I|| \le const \cdot n_m^{-d}$  **Proof.** Since the eigenvalues of the kernel operator  $R_I$  are  $\{(I_k - I)^{-1}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  then  $||R_I|| = \max_k \{I_k - I|^{-1}\}$  (2.7) For  $|I| = b_m$ 

# E. Adıgüzelov, Ö. Bakşi

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| I_{k} \right| - \left| I \right\| &= \left\| I_{k} \right| - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( m_{n_{m}} + m_{n_{m}+1} \right) \right\| = \frac{1}{2} \left\| m_{n_{m}} + m_{n_{m}+1} - 2 \left| I_{k} \right\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.8} \\ m_{n_{m}} + m_{n_{m}+1} - 2 \left| I_{k} \right| &\geq m_{n_{m}} + m_{n_{m}+1} - 2I_{n_{m}} = m_{n_{m}+1} - m_{n_{m}} + 2 \left( m_{n_{m}} - I_{n_{m}} \right) \\ k &\leq n_{m} \quad \text{and for the large values of m, since } \left| I_{k} \right| \langle I_{n_{m}} \quad \text{then} \\ m_{n_{m}} + m_{n_{m}+1} - 2 \left| I_{k} \right| &\geq m_{n_{m}+1} - m_{n_{m}} - 2 \left| m_{n_{m}} - I_{n_{m}} \right| > m_{n_{m}+1} - m_{n_{m}} - c \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Here c is a constant.  $k \ge n_m + 1$  and for the large values of m, since  $|I_k| = I_k \ge I_{n_m+1}$  then

 $2|I_{k}| - m_{n_{m}} - m_{n_{m}+1} \ge 2I_{n_{m}+1} - m_{n_{m}} - m_{n_{m}+1}$ = 2( $I_{n_{m}+1} - m_{n_{m}+1}$ ) +  $m_{n_{m}+1} - m_{n_{m}}$ 

$$\geq m_{n_m+1} - m_{n_m} - 2 \left| I_{n_m+1} - m_{n_m+1} \right| \qquad \geq m_{n_m+1} - m_{n_m} - c \qquad (2.10)$$
  
a  $(m_{n_m+1} - m_n) = \infty$  by (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10)

On the other hand, since  $\lim_{m \to \infty} (m_{n_m+1} - m_{n_m}) = \infty$  by (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10)

$$\|I_k| - |I\| \ge \frac{1}{4} \left( m_{n_m+1} - m_{n_m} \right) \text{ is found. By using the Theorem 2.2}$$
$$\|I_k| - |I\| \ge \frac{d_0}{4} \left[ (n_m+1)^{1+d} - n_m^{1+d} \right] > \frac{d_0}{4} n_m^d \text{ or } \|I_k| - I|^{-1} < \frac{4}{d_0} n_m^{-d}$$

is obtained. By (2.7) and the last inequality  $||R_I|| \le \frac{4}{d_0} \cdot n_m^{-d}$  is found. Lemma is proved.• We will use the last two lemmas to prove the following theorem.

## 3. THE FORMULA FOR THE REGULARIZED TRACE OF THE OPERATOR L

This is a well known formula for the resolvents of the operators  $L_0$  and L:

 $R_{l} = R_{l}^{0} - R_{l}QR_{l}^{0} \ (l \in r(L) \cap r(L_{0})) \; .$ 

By using the last formula and (2.2), it can be shown that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_m} (1_k - m_k) = \sum_{j=1}^p D_{mj} + D_m^{(p)}$$
(3.1)

Here

$$D_{mj} = \frac{(-1)^j}{2pij} \inf_{\substack{|I|=b_m}} [QR_I^0)^j] dI$$
(3.2)

$$D_m^{(p)} = \frac{(-1)^p}{2pi} \prod_{|I|=b_m} I \cdot tr \Big[ R_I (QR_I^0)^{p+1} \Big] dI$$
(3.3)

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $g_j \sim a \cdot j^a$   $(0 < a < \infty, 2 < a < \infty)$  as  $j \to \infty$ , and the operator function Q(x) satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) then

 $\lim_{m \to \infty} D_{mj} = 0 \qquad (j \ge 2)$ 

**Proof.** According to the formula (3.2)

$$\left| D_{mj} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2pj} \int_{|I| = b_m} \left| tr(QR_I^0)^j \right| dI \right| \leq \frac{1}{2pj} \int_{|I| = b_m} \left\| QR_I^0 \right\|_{\mathcal{S}_1(H_1)} \left\| QR_I^0 \right\|^{j-1} |dI|$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D_{mj} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2pj} \int_{|I|=b_m} \|Q\| \cdot \left\| R_I^0 \right\|_{S_1(H_1)} \|QR_I^0\|^{j-1} |dI| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2pj} \int_{|I|=b_m} \|Q\|^j \cdot \left\| R_I^0 \right\|_{S_1(H_1)} \left\| R_I^0 \right\|^{j-1} |dI| \leq const \int_{|I|=b_m} \left\| R_I^0 \right\|_{S_1(H_1)} \left\| R_I^0 \right\|^{j-1} |dI| \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4)$$

Since  $R_I = R_I^0$  for  $Q \equiv 0$  according to Lemma 2.2  $\left\| R_{\perp}^0 \right\| \le const \cdot n_m^{-d}$  (3.5)

By using Lemma 2.1 and the inequalities (3.4), (3.5)

 $\left| D_{mj} \right| \leq const \int n_m^{1-d} \cdot n_m^{-d(j-1)} \cdot \left| dl \right| \leq const \cdot \mathbf{m}_{n_m} \cdot n_m^{1-dj}$ 

is obtained. Since  $m_{n_m} \leq const \cdot n_m^{1+d}$ , then  $|D_{mj}| \leq const \cdot n_m^{2-d(j-1)}$  is found. As seen; if  $j \geq \lfloor |2d^{-1}| \rfloor + 2$  then

$$\int 2 \left[ 2a + 1 \right] + 2 \text{ then}$$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} D_{mj} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

It is necessary to show that the equality above is satisfied for  $j=2,3,..., \lfloor |2d^{-1}| \rfloor + 1$  to complete the proof. Let us show for j=2.  $D_{m2}$  satisfies the following inequality

$$D_{m2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_m} \sum_{j=n_m+1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{m}_k - \mathbf{m}_j)^{-1} (\mathbf{j}_{k}, Q\mathbf{j}_{j})_{H_1} (Q\mathbf{j}_{j}, \mathbf{j}_{k})_{H_1} \text{ . Therefore}$$
$$|D_{m2}| \leq \sum_{j=n_m+1}^{\infty} \left[ (\mathbf{m}_j - \mathbf{m}_{n_m})^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(Q\mathbf{j}_{j}, \mathbf{j}_{k})_{H_1}|^2 \right]$$
(3.7)

Since  $\{\mathbf{j}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is an orthonormal basis in the space  $H_1$  and the equality

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n_m+1}^{\infty} (m_j - m_{n_m})^{-1} = 0$$
(3.8)

is satisfied, by (3.7) and (3.8)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} D_{m2} = 0$  is obtained.

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $g_j \sim a \cdot j^a$   $(0 < a < \infty, 2 < a < \infty)$  as  $j \to \infty$  and Q(x) satisfies the conditions (1), (2), (3) then the formula in the form

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n_m} \left( I_k - \mathbf{m}_k \right) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ tr \mathcal{Q}(0) + tr \mathcal{Q}(p) \right]$$

is satisfied for the regularized trace of the operator L. **Proof.** According to the formula (3.2)

$$D_{m1} = -\frac{1}{2pi} \int_{|I| = b_m} tr(QR_I^0) dI$$
(3.9)

Since the orthonormal eigenvectors system  $\{\Psi_p(x)\}_1^{\infty}$  according to the eigenvalues  $\{m_p\}_1^{\infty}$  of the operator  $L_0$  is an orthonormal basis of the space  $H_1$  then  $tr(QR_I^0) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (QR_I^0 \Psi_p, \Psi_p)_{H_1}$ . If the equality above is written into the equality (3.9),

$$R_{I}^{0}\Psi_{p} = (L_{0} - II)^{-1} \cdot \Psi_{p} = (m_{p} - I)^{-1}\Psi_{p} \text{ and } m_{n_{m}} < b_{m} < m_{n_{m}+1}$$
  
are considered, then  $D_{m1} = -\frac{1}{2pi} \int_{|I|=b_{m}} \int_{p=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (m_{p} - I)^{-1} (Q\Psi_{p}, \Psi_{p})_{H_{1}} \right] dI$   
$$= \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \left( Q\Psi_{p}, \Psi_{p} \right)_{H_{1}} \cdot \frac{1}{2pi} \int_{|I|=b_{m}} \frac{dI}{I - m_{p}} = \sum_{p=1}^{n_{m}} \left( Q\Psi_{p}, \Psi_{p} \right)_{H_{1}}$$
(3.10)

is obtained. Since the orthonormal eigenvectors according to the eigenvalues  $k^2 + g_j$ (k=0,1,2,...;j=1,2,...) of the operator  $L_0$  are  $M_k \cos kx j_j$  (k=0,1,2,...;j=1,2,...) respectively then  $\Psi_p(x) = M_{k_p} \cos k_p x j_{j_p}$  (p=1,2,...) Therefore by (3.10)

$$D_{m1} = \sum_{p=1}^{n_m} \int_0^p \left( Q(x) M_{k_p} \cos k_p x j_{j_p}, M_{k_p} \cos k_p x j_{j_p} \right)_H dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{n_m} M_{k_p}^2 \int_0^p (1 + \cos 2k_p x) \left( Q(x) j_{j_p}, j_{j_p} \right)_H dx$$

is found.Q(x) satisfies the condition (3) and  $M_k = \sqrt{2p^{-1}}$  (k=1,2,...) is considered,by the last relation

$$D_{m1} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{p=1}^{n_m} \sum_{0}^{p} \cos 2k_p x (Q(x)j_{j_p}, j_{j_p})_H dx$$
(3.11)

is found. If the operator function Q(x) satisfies the conditions (1) and (2), the multiple series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=10}^{p} (Q(x)j_j, j_j)_H \cos 2kx dx$  is absolute convergent. In this case as known

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{p=1}^{n_m} \int_{0}^{p} \cos 2k_p x (Q(x)j_{j_p}, j_{j_p})_H dx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{p} (Q(x)j_{j}, j_{j})_H \cos 2kx dx$$

By (3.11) and the last equality

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} D_{m1} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left[ \left( Q(x)j_{j}, j_{j} \right)_{H} \cos 2kxdx \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{2}{p} \int_{0}^{p} \left( Q(x)j_{j}, j_{j} \right)_{H} \cos kxdx \right] \cos k.0 \right\}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{2}{p} \int_{0}^{p} \left( Q(x)j_{j}, j_{j} \right)_{H} \cos kxdx \right] \cos kp \right\}$$

is found. If we consider that Q(x) satisfies the condition (3), the sums according to k on the right hand side of the last relation are the values at the point 0 and p of the Fourier series of the function

 $(Q(x)j_j,j_j)_H$  having second order derivative according to the functions  $\{\cos kx\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  in the interval [0,p] respectively. Therefore

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} D_{m1} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \left( Q(0) \boldsymbol{j}_{j}, \boldsymbol{j}_{j} \right)_{H} + \left( Q(\boldsymbol{p}) \boldsymbol{j}_{j}, \boldsymbol{j}_{j} \right)_{H} \right]$$

or

 $\lim_{m\to\infty} D_{m1} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ tr Q(0) + tr Q(p) \right]$ 

similar to the proof of the equality (3.6) the formula

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} D_m^{(p)} = 0 \qquad \left( p \right) \frac{3(a+2)}{a-2}$$

can be proved. By the formulas (3.1), (3.12), (3.13) and Theorem 3.1

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n_m} (l_k - m_k) = \frac{1}{4} [trQ(0) + trQ(p)]$$

is obtained. The Theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kirillov, A.A., *Elementary Theory Representations*, Springer verlag, New York, 1976.
- [2] Cohberg, C. and Krein, M.G., Introduction to the Theory Linear non-self Adjoint Operators, Translation of Mathematical Monographs, Vol.18 (AMS, Providence, R.I., 1969).
- [3] Gelfand, I.M.and Levitan, B.M. "On a formula for eigenvalues of a differential operator of second order", Dokl.Akad.Nauk SSSR, 1953, T.88, No:4, 593-596.
- [4] Dikiy, L.A., "About a formula of Gelfand-Levitan", Usp.Mat.Nauk, 8(2), 119-123 (1953).
- [5] Halberg,C.J.and Kramer,V.A "A generalization of the trace concept", Duke Math.J.27(4),607-618 (1960).
- [6] Levitan, B.M. and Sargsyan, I.S., *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac Op.*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [7] Fulton, T.C. and Pruess, S.A., "Eigenvalue and eigenfunction asymptotics for regular Sturm-Liouville problems", J.Math.Anal.Appl.188, 297-340 (1994).
- [8] Chalilova, R.Z., "On arranging Sturm-Liouville operator equation's trace", Funks.analiz, teoriya funksi i ik pril.-Mahachkala, Vol.1, No:3, 1976.
- [9] Adıgüzelov, E.E.," About the trace of the difference of two Sturm-Liouville operators with operator coefficient", iz.An Az SSR, seriya fiz-tekn. i mat.nauk, No:5, 20-24, 1976.
- [10] Maksudov, F.G., Bairamoglu, M. and Adıgüzelov, E.E. "On a regularized traces of the Sturm-Liouville operator on a finite interval with the unbounded operator coefficient ", Dokl.Akad, Nauk SSSR, English translation, Soviet Math, Dokl, 30(1984), No1, 169-173.
- [11] Bairamoglu, M. and Adıgüzelov, E.E. "On a regularized trace formula for the Sturm-Lioville operator with a bounded operator coefficient and with a singularity" Differential Equations ,32(1996),no 12,1581-1585 (1997).
- [12] Adigüzelov, E.E., Bayramov, A. and Baykal, O. "On the spectrum and regularized trace of the Sturm-Liouville problem with spectral parameter on the boundary condition and with the operator coefficient", International Journal of differential Equations and Applications" Vol.2,No3,2001, 317-333.
- [13] Adigüzelov, E.E. Avcı, H. and Gul, E., "The trace formula for Sturm-Liouville operator with operator coefficient", J.Math.Phsy,Vol.42,1611-1624 No:6, 2001.
- [14] Gorbachuk, V.I. "About the asymptotic behaviour of the eigenvalues of boundary value problems for differential equations in the vector function space", Ukr.Matem.Journal, T.27, No:5, 657-664, 1975.

55

(3.12)