

## Ömer CİVALEK\*

Akdeniz University, Faculty of Engineering, Civil Engineering Department Division of Mechanics, ANTALYA

Geliş/Received: 09.07.2007 Kabul/Accepted: 07.02.2008

#### ABSTRACT

Static analysis of shear deformable plates resting on two-parameter foundations is presented by the method of discrete singular convolution (DSC). The influence of foundation parameters on the deflections of the plate has been investigated. Numerical studies are performed and the DSC results are compared well with other analytical solutions and some numerical results.

Keywords: Thick plates, discrete singular convolution, elastic foundation.

# WINKLER-PASTERNAK ZEMİNE OTURAN KAYMA DEFORMASYONLU DİKDÖRTGEN PLAKLARIN STATİK HESABI

#### ÖZET

İki parametreli zemine oturan kayma deformasyonlu plakların statik analizi için ayrık tekil konvolüsyon yöntemi sunulmuştur. Zemin parametrelerinin deformasyon üzerine etkisi incelenmiştir. Sayısal uygulama yapılmış ve elde edilen sonuçlar diğer analitik ve bazı sayısal çözüm yöntemlerinin verdiği sonuçlar ile karşılaştırılmıştır.

Anahtar Sözcükler: Kalın plak, ayrık tekil konvolüsyon, elastik zemin.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Plates on elastic foundation have wide applications in pressure vessels technology such as petrochemical, marine and aerospace industry, civil, and mechanical engineering. Long list of references on dynamic and bending analysis of thin and thick plates on elastic foundation are given, for example, in References [1,2,10,11]. Some selected works in this research topic includes those of Liew et al. [3], Teo and Liew [4], Wang et al. [5], Kobayashi and Sonoda [6], Civalek [7,9], Daloğlu et al.[12], Ayvaz et al.[13], Omurtag and Kadıoğlu [14] and Kadıoğlu and Omurtag [15].

## 2. DISCRETE SINGULAR CONVOLUTION (DSC)

For brevity, consider a distribution, T and  $\eta(t)$  as an element of the space of the test function. A singular convolution can be defined by [17].

<sup>\*</sup> e-mail/e-ileti: civalek@yahoo.com, tel: (242) 310 63 19

$$F(t) = (T * \eta)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} T(t - x)\eta(x)dx$$
(1)

where T(t-x) is a singular kernel. The DSC algorithm can be realized by using many approximation kernels. However, it was shown [18-21] that for many problems, the use of the regularized Shannon kernel (RSK) is very efficient. The RSK is given by [22]

$$\delta_{\Delta,\sigma}(x-x_k) = \frac{\sin[(\pi/\Delta)(x-x_k)]}{(\pi/\Delta)(x-x_k)} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-x_k)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]; \sigma > 0$$
(2)

where  $\Delta = \pi/(N-1)$  is the grid spacing and *N* is the number of grid points. In the DSC method, the function *f*(*x*) and its derivatives with respect to the *x* coordinate at a grid point *x<sub>i</sub>* are approximated by a linear sum of discrete values *f*(*x<sub>k</sub>*) in a narrow bandwidth [*x*-*x<sub>M</sub>*, *x*+*x<sub>M</sub>*]. This can be expressed as [23]

$$\frac{d^{n} f(x)}{dx^{n}} = f^{(n)}(x) \approx \sum_{k = -M}^{M} \delta^{(n)}_{\Delta,\sigma}(x_{i} - x_{k}) f(x_{k}); \quad (n=0,1,2,...,$$
(4)

where superscript n denotes the *n*th-order derivative with respect to x. Detailed formulations for these differentiation coefficients can be found in references [24-26].

### **3. FUNDAMENTAL FORMULATIONS**

The governing equations for bending of Mindlin plates on two-parameter elastic foundation can be given in the following dimensionless form as [3]

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_X}{\partial X^2} + \beta^2 \frac{1-\upsilon}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_X}{\partial Y^2} + \frac{1+\upsilon}{2} \beta \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_Y}{\partial X \partial Y} + \alpha \chi \frac{\partial W}{\partial X} - \alpha \Psi_X = 0,$$
(5)

$$\frac{(1-v)}{2}\frac{\partial^2 \Psi_Y}{\partial X^2} + \beta^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_Y}{\partial Y^2} + \frac{(1+v)}{2}\beta \frac{\partial^2 \Psi_X}{\partial X \partial Y} + \alpha \chi \frac{\partial W}{\partial Y} - \alpha \Psi_Y = 0, \tag{6}$$

$$(1 + \frac{G_f}{\alpha}) \left[ \chi \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial X^2} + \gamma \beta \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial Y^2} \right] - \left[ \frac{\partial \Psi_X}{\partial X} + \beta \frac{\partial \Psi_Y}{\partial Y} \right] + Q - \frac{K_F}{\alpha} W = 0.$$
(7)

In the equations given above, following new parameters are used.

$$X = x/a, \quad Y = y/b, \quad W = w/h, \quad \chi = h/a, \quad \beta = a/b, \quad \gamma = h/b, \quad \Psi_X = \psi_X$$
  
$$\alpha = 6\kappa(1-v)/\chi^2, \quad \Psi_Y = \psi_y, \quad Q = q/\kappa G\chi, \quad K = a^4k_f/D, \quad G_f = a^2G_F/D. \tag{8}$$

The moment resultants can be given by [4]

$$M_{x} = -D\left(\frac{\partial\psi_{x}}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial\psi_{y}}{\partial y}\right), \qquad M_{y} = -D\left(v\frac{\partial\psi_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial\psi_{y}}{\partial y}\right), \tag{9.10}$$

Static Analysis of Shear Deformable Rectangular ...

$$M_{xy} = -D\frac{1-v}{2}\left(\frac{\partial\psi_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial\psi_y}{\partial x}\right),\tag{11}$$

According to the DSC method, the normalized governing equations (Eqs.5-7) can be discretized into the following form

$$\sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(2)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta^{2} \left(\frac{1-\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(2)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{ik}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{Y} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{ik}^{Y} = 0$$

$$\left(\frac{1-\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(2)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{Y} + \beta^{2} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(2)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{ik}^{Y} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{Y} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{ik}^{X}$$

$$\left(\frac{1-\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(2)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{Y} + \beta^{2} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(2)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{ik}^{Y} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{Y} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{ik}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{Y} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \left(\frac{1+\upsilon}{2}\right) \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta)$$

$$\alpha \chi \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) W_{ik} - \alpha \Psi_{i}^{Y} = 0$$

$$\left(1 + \frac{G_{f}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\chi \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(2)}(k\Delta) W_{kj} + \gamma \beta \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(2)}(k\Delta) W_{ik}\right]$$

$$-\left[\chi \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{kj}^{X} + \beta \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \delta_{\Delta,\sigma}^{(1)}(k\Delta) \Psi_{ik}^{Y}\right] - \frac{K_{F}}{\alpha} W_{j} = -Q$$

$$(13)$$

Two types of boundary conditions, i.e., simply supported (S) and clamped (C) are taken into consideration. The normalized form of these boundary conditions can be given below:

$$W = 0,$$
  $\Psi_X = 0,$  and  $\Psi_Y = 0.$  (15)

• Simply supported (S) edge at X=0, 1

$$W = 0, \ \frac{\partial \Psi_X}{\partial X} + \beta v \frac{\partial \Psi_Y}{\partial Y} = 0, \text{ and } \qquad \Psi_Y = 0.$$
 (16)

• Simply supported (S) edge at Y=0, 1

$$W = 0, \ v \frac{\partial \Psi_X}{\partial X} + \beta \frac{\partial \Psi_Y}{\partial Y} = 0, \text{ and } \Psi_X = 0.$$
 (17)

### 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In the first test example, the central deflection of SSSS square plate under uniformly distributed load (h/a=0.05) is taken into account. Results from Buczkowski and Torbacki [8] and Timoshenko and Woinowsky-Krieger [16] are used to check present formulation for the deflection of plates on elastic foundation. These results are listed in Table 1. It is seen that the present method yields accurate results. Table 2 shows the comparison of the central deflection and

bending moments of SSSS square plate under uniformly distributed load, with the solutions of Wang et al. [5] for different  $G_{F}$ .

distributed load (li/a=0.05)					
	Analytical	Thick plate			
K	Solution	FEM	Present		
	Ref. 16	Ref. 8			
0	0.40624	0.41197	0.40628		
1	0.40517	0.41088	0.40521		
3	0.33472	0.33855	0.33473		
5	0.15060	0.15114	0.15064		
10	0.01115	0.10960	0.01118		

**Table 1.** Comparison of the deflection  $W/(qa^4/100D)$  of SSSS square plate under uniformly<br/>distributed load (h/a=0.05)

 Table 2. Central deflection and bending moments of SSSS square plate under uniformly distributed load (h/a=0.005;v=0.25; K=200)

$G_F$	<i>M</i> / ( <i>qa</i> <sup>2</sup> /100)		W/ (qa <sup>4</sup> /100D)	
-	Ref. 5	Present	Ref. 5	Present
5	2.4179	2.4208	0.2264	0.2264
10	-	1.9876	-	0.1886
20	1.6129	1.6133	0.1568	0.1570

All computations are made using 18 grid points in each direction. The results obtained by the proposed method for variation of central deflection with thickness to width ratio of CCCC plates under uniformly distributed load for different Winkler parameters (b/a=1;v=0.3) are shown in Figure 1. The results are presented for three different Winkler parameters. It is shown that the increasing value of K always decreases the deflection. It is concluded that the w values increase as the h/a ratio increase. The parameter K of the Winkler foundation has been found to have significant influence on the static response of the Mindlin plates.

The relationship between the deflections with h/a ratio for different value of  $G_f$  is shown in Fig. 2 by setting b/a=1, K=200. It can be seen that, the displacement increases directly. It is also shown that the increasing value of  $G_f$  always decreases the deflection. Furthermore, the displacements decrease with increasing the shear modulus of foundation,  $G_f$ . Figure 3 describes the manner of variation of deflections with respect to shear modulus of foundation  $G_f$  for three different boundary conditions. Results given in this figure are obtained by setting b/a=1, h/a=0.2, K=1. It is shown in these figures that the deflections decrease with increasing shear modulus of foundation  $G_f$ . Also, the SSSS plate has the highest bending moments, followed by SCSC and CCCC.

Static Analysis of Shear Deformable Rectangular ...



Figure 1. Variation of central deflection  $(qa^4/10^4D)$  with thickness to width ratio of CCCC plates under uniformly distributed load for different Winkler parameters (b/a=1;v=0.3)



**Figure 2**. Variation of deflection  $(qa^4/100D)$  with thickness to width ratio of SSSS plates under uniformly distributed load for different Pasternak parameters (X=0.5; Y=0.5; b/a=1; K=200; v=0.3)



**Figure 3**. Variation of deflection  $(qa^4/10^4D)$  with Pasternak parameters under uniformly distributed load for different boundary conditions (*X*=0.5; *Y*=0.5; b/a=1; h/a=0.2; *K*=1;v=0.3)

### 5. CONCLUSIONS

The method of discrete singular convolution for the solution of static analysis of Mindlin plates on Winkler-Pasternak foundations. The shear parameter  $G_f$  of the Pasternak foundation and stiffness parameter K of the Winkler foundation have been found to have a significant influence on the static response of the plates. Furthermore, the response to a simply supported plate is higher than the response to a clamped supported. The dimensionless deflection (W) values increase as the h/a ratio increase. In fact the deflection values (w) decrease.

### Acknowledgements

The financial support of the Scientific Research Projects Unit of Akdeniz University is gratefully acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- Voyiadjis G.Z, Baluch M.H., "Thick plates on elastic foundation", ASCE, J. Engineering Mech., 105,1041-1045, 1979.
- [2] Svec O.J., "Thick plates on elastic foundation by finite elements", Trans ASCE, J. Engineering Mech., 102,461-477, 1976.
- [3] Liew K.M, Han J.-B, Xiao ZM, Du H., "Differential quadrature method for Mindlin plates on Winkler foundations", Int. J. Mech. Sciences, 38(4), 405-421, 1996.
  [4] Teo T.M, Liew K.M., "Differential cubature method for analysis of shear deformable
- [4] Teo T.M, Liew K.M., "Differential cubature method for analysis of shear deformable rectangular plates on Pasternak foundations", Int. J. Mech. Sciences, 2002; 44: 1179-1194.
- [5] Wang J, Wang X.X, and Huang, M,K., "Fundamental solutions and boundary integral equations for Reissner's plates on elastic foundations", Int. J. Solids Struct., 29,1233-1239, 1992.
- [6] Kobayashi H, Sonoda K., "Rectangular Mindlin plates on elastic foundations", Int. J. Mech. Sciences, 31, 679-692, 1989.

#### Static Analysis of Shear Deformable Rectangular ...

- Civalek Ö., "Harmonic Differential Quadrature-Finite differences Coupled Approaches [7] For Geometrically Nonlinear Static and Dynamic Analysis of Rectangular Plates on Elastic Foundation", J. of Sound and Vib., 294, 966-980, 2006.
- [8] Buczkowski R, Torbacki W., "Finite element modeling of thick plates on two-parameter elastic foundation", Int. J. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech., 25, 1409-1427, 2001.
- [9] Civalek Ö., "Nonlinear analysis of thin rectangular plates on Winkler-Pasternak elastic foundations by DSC-HDQ methods", Applied Mathematical Modeling, 31,606-624, 2007.
- [10] Winkler E., Die Lehre von der Elastizitat und Festigkeit, Prague, 182, 1876.
- [11] Pasternak P.L., New method of calculation for flexible substructures on two-parameter elastic foundation, Gosudarstvennoe Izdatelstoo, Literaturi po Stroitelstvu Arkhitekture, Moskau, 1-56,1954, (in Russian).
- Daloğlu A, Doğangün A., and Ayvaz Y., "Dynamic Analysis of Foundation Plates Using [12] A Consistent Vlasov Model", J. Sound Vib., 224(5), 941-951, 1999.
- Ayvaz, Y., Daloğlu, A, and Doğangün A., "Application of a modified Vlasov model to [13] earthquake analysis of plates resting on elastic foundations", J. Sound Vib., 212(3), 499-509 1998
- Omurtag, M.H., Kadıoğlu F., "Free Vibration analysis of orthotropic plates resting on [14] Pasternak foundation by mixed finite element formulation", Computers & Structures, 67, 253-265, 1998.
- [15] Kadıoğlu, F. Omurtag, M.H., "Ortotrop plak-ortotrop zemin etkileşimindeki serbest titreşim analizi için karışık SE formüşlasyonu", III. Ulusal Hesaplamalı Mekanik konferansı, 16-18 Kasım, 81-88, 1988.
- Timoshenko SP, Woinowsky-Krieger W., "Theory of plates and shells", McGraw-Hill, [16] New-York, 1970.
- Wei G.W., "Discrete singular convolution for the solution of the Fokker-Planck [17] equations", J Chem. Phys, 1999;110: 8930-8942. Wei G.W., "A new algorithm for solving some mechanical problems", Comput. Methods
- [18] Appl. Mech. Eng., 190, 2017-2030, 2001.
- Wei G.W., "Vibration analysis by discrete singular convolution", J. of Sound Vib., 244, [19] 535-553, 2001.
- [20] Wei G.W., Zhao Y.B., and Xiang Y., "Discrete singular convolution and its application to the analysis of plates with internal supports. Part 1: Theory and algorithm", Int. J Numer Methods Eng., 55, 913-946, 2002.
- Zhao Y.B., Wei G.W., and Xiang Y., "Discrete singular convolution for the prediction of [21] high frequency vibration of plates", Int. J. Solids Struct.39, 65-88, 2002.
- Civalek Ö., "An efficient method for free vibration analysis of rotating truncated conical [22] shells", Int. J. Pressure Vessels and Piping, 83, 1-12, 2006.
- [23] Civalek Ö., "The determination of frequencies of laminated conical shells via the discrete singular convolution method", J. of Mechanics of Materials and Structures, 1(1),165-192, 2006.
- Civalek Ö., "Free vibration analysis of composite conical shells using the discrete singular [24] convolution algorithm", Steel and Composite Structures, 6(4),353-366, 2006.
- Civalek Ö., "Three-dimensional vibration, buckling and bending analyses of thick [25] rectangular plates based on discrete singular convolution method", Int. Journal of Mechanical Sciences, 49,752-765, 2007.
- Civalek, Ö., "Numerical analysis of free vibrations of laminated composite conical and [26] cylindrical shells: discrete singular convolution (DSC) approach", Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 205, 251 – 271, 2007.