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#### Abstract

Many researchers have recently acquainted and researched several interesting subfamilies of bi-univalent function family $\delta$ and they have found non-sharp estimates on the first two Tay-lor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$. In this current work, the subfamily $\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$ of bi-univalent functions in the sense of symmetric conjugate points with quasi subordination is defined. The Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|,\left|a_{3}\right|$ and besides related with these coefficients $\left|a_{3}-a_{2}{ }^{2}\right|$ for functions belonging to this subfamily are derived. Further some corollaries are also presented.
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## INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the family of functions $s$ of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{2} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is analytic in the open $\operatorname{disc} \Omega=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$, and holds normalized equalities $s(0)=s^{\prime}(0)-1=0$.

Furthermore, we indicate all analytic function family which are univalent in $\Omega$ by $S$. Let's take $t(z)$ be an analytic function in $\Omega$, such thatt

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(z)=t_{0}+t_{1} z+t_{2} z^{2}+\cdots, \quad|t(z)| \leq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all coefficients are real. Besides, let the function $\Xi$ be an analytic and univalent function with positive real part

[^0]in $\Omega$ with $\Xi(0)=1, \Xi^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $\Xi$ maps the unit disc $\Omega$ onto a region starlike in the sense of $\Xi(0)=1$ and symmetric in the sense of real axis. Taylor's series expansion for such a function is of the type
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi(\mathrm{z})=1+A_{1} z+A_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where all coefficients are real and $A_{1}>0$.
For two functions $s$ and $r$ analytic in $\Omega$, we say that the function $s$ is subordinate to $r$, written $s<r$ or $s(z)<r(z)$ $(z \in \Omega)$, if there exist a Schwarz function $w$ analytic in $\Omega$ with $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$, such that $s(z)=r(w(z))$, $z \in \Omega$.

Robertson [12] acquainted the notion of quasi-subordination in 1970. For two analytic functions $s$ and $r$, the function $s$ is said to be quasi-subordinate to $r$ in $\Omega$ and written as

$$
s(z) \prec_{q} r(z) \quad(z \in \Omega)
$$

if there exists an analytic function $|t(z)| \leq 1, z \in \Omega$ such that $\frac{s(z)}{t(z)}$ analytic in $\Omega$,

$$
\frac{s(z)}{t(z)}<r(z), \quad z \in \Omega .
$$

Namely, there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ with $w(0)$ $=0$ and $|w(z)| \leq|z|$ such that

$$
s(z)=t(z) r(w(z)), \quad z \in \Omega
$$

Observe that if $t(z)=1$, then $s(z)=r(w(z))$, so that $s(z) \prec r(z)$ in $\Omega$. Besides, realize that if $w(z)=z$, then $s(z)=t(z) r(z)$, and it is said that $s$ is majorized by $r$ and written $s(z) \ll r(z)$ in $\Omega$. Therefore it is apparent that qua-si-subordination is a generalization of subordination as well as majorization (see e.g. [1, 3, 6, 10-12] for works concerned with quasi-subordination and subordination).

The Koebe-one quarter theorem [5] guarantees that the image of $\Omega$ under every univalent function $s \in \mathcal{A}$ comprises a disc of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Hence every univalent function $s$ has an inverse $s^{-1}$ providing $s^{-1}(s(z))=z, z \in \Omega$ and $s\left(s^{-1}(\mathrm{w})\right)=$ $\mathrm{w},\left(|w|<r_{0}(s), r_{0}(s) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)$. Indeed, the inverse function $s^{-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\cdots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If both $s$ and $s^{-1}$ are univalent in $\Omega$, then the function $s$ $\in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\Omega$. Let $\delta$ indicate the family of bi-univalent functions defined in $\Omega$.

Many researchers have recently acquainted and researched several interesting subfamilies of bi-univalent function family $\delta$, and they have found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ [2, 4, 13-15, 17]. However, we have a few study about the general coefficient bounds $\left|a_{n}\right|$ for bi- univalent functions in the literature $[8,9]$. There is still an obvious problem for $\left|a_{n}\right|, n \in \mathbb{N}-\{1,2,3\}$, where $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. El-Ashwah and Thomas [7] acquainted the family $S^{*}$ of functions named starlike in the sense of symmetric conjugate points, they are the functions $s \in S$ provide the inequality

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z s^{\prime}(z)}{s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \Omega
$$

If

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}\right.}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \Omega
$$

then, the function $s \in S$ is named convex in the sense of symmetric conjugate points. The family of all convex functions in the sense of symmetric conjugate points is indicated by $C_{s c}$.

Motivated by the earlier works in Wanas and Majeed in [16], by using quasi-subordinations, we introduce new subfamilies of bi-univalent functions family $\delta$ namely, subfamilies of bi-univalent functions in the sense of symmetric conjugate points.

We now define the following:
Definition 1.1. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, a function $s \in \delta$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the family $\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$, if it provides the following quasi-subordinations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}} & +\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}\right.} \\
& -\frac{2 \alpha z^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha z\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}}\right.}-1 \\
& <_{q}(\Xi(\mathrm{z})-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)-\overline{r(-w)}} & +\frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}} \\
& -\frac{2 \alpha w^{2} r^{\prime \prime}(w)+2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{\alpha w\left(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w}))^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})}\right.}-1 \\
& <_{q}(\Xi(\mathrm{w})-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the function $g$ is the extension of $s^{-1}$ to $\Omega$.
We consider that that for $t(z)=1$, we have the family $\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)=\mathcal{F}_{\delta}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$ as defined as follows:

Definition 1.2. For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, a function $s \in \delta$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the family $\mathcal{F}_{\delta}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$, if the following subordinations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}} & +\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}\right.} \\
& -\frac{2 \alpha z^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha z\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})})}\right.} \\
& <\Xi(\mathrm{z}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\frac{2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)-\overline{r(-w)}}+\frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w}))^{\prime}}\right.}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{2 \alpha w^{2} r^{\prime \prime}(w)+2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{\alpha w\left(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w}))^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})}\right.} \\
& <\Xi(\mathrm{w}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the function $r$ is the extension of $s^{-1}$ to $\Omega$.
Upon taking $\alpha=0$, we obtain the family $\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)=\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\Xi)$ as defined follow:

Definition 1.3. A functions $\in \delta$ given by (1.1) is said to be in the family $\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\Xi)$ if the following subordinations hold:

$$
\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}\right.} \prec_{q}(\Xi(\mathrm{z})-1)
$$

and

$$
\frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})^{\prime}} \prec_{q}(\Xi(\mathrm{w})-1)
$$

Motivated by the earlier works in Wanas and Majeed in [16], we introduce and investigate a new subfamily of functions by the technique of quasi-subordination. The $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$ coefficient estimates and also $a_{3}-a_{2}{ }^{2}$ for functions in the subfamily $\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$ are derived. Some important results are also presented in this study.

We should remember here the following lemma so as to derive our basic results:

Lemma 1.4. [5]. If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ then $\left|c_{k}\right| \leq 2$ for each $k$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the family of functions $p$ analytic in $\Omega$ for which $\mathfrak{R}\{p(z)\}>0, p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ for $z \in \Omega$.

## COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR $\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$

In this part, we give resulting estimates for $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$. Furthermore, $\left|a_{3}-a_{2}{ }^{2}\right|$ of the functions $s \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$ given by (1) is derived.

We firstly, give main theorem below:
Theorem 2.1. Let $0<\alpha \leq 1$. If $s \in \mathcal{A}$ of the type (1.1) belongs to the family $\mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}\right| A_{1} \sqrt{A_{1}}}{\sqrt{2\left|t_{0} A_{1}^{2}(3-2 \alpha)-\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)(2-\alpha)^{2}\right|}} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{1} A_{1}+t_{0} A_{2}\right|}{2(3-2 \alpha)},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{A_{1}\left|t_{0}+t_{1}\right|}{2(3-2 \alpha)}
$$

Proof. Let $s \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}(\alpha, \Xi)$. In the light of Definition 1.1, there are analytic functions $\xi, \phi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ with $\xi(0)=\phi(0)$ $=0$, holding
$\frac{2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})}}+\frac{2\left(z s^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}}\right.}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{2 \alpha z^{2} s^{\prime \prime}(z)+2 z s^{\prime}(z)}{\alpha z\left(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z}))^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(s(z)-\overline{s(-\bar{z})})}\right.}-1 \\
& <t(z)[\Xi(\xi(z))-1], \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

and
$\frac{2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)-\overline{r(-w)}}+\frac{2\left(w r^{\prime}(w)\right)^{\prime}}{\left(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w}))^{\prime}}\right.}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{2 \alpha w^{2} r^{\prime \prime}(w)+2 w r^{\prime}(w)}{\alpha w\left(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w}))^{\prime}+(1-\alpha)(r(w)-\overline{r(-\bar{w})})}\right.}-1 \\
& <t(w)[\Xi(\phi(w))-1] . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Define the functions

$$
\eta(z)=\frac{1+\xi(z)}{1-\xi(z)}=1+\xi_{1} z+\xi_{2} z^{2}+\xi_{3} z^{3}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\mu(z)=\frac{1+\phi(z)}{1-\phi(z)}=1+\phi_{1} z+\phi_{2} z^{2}+\phi_{3} z^{3}+\cdots
$$

or equivalently,
$\xi(z)=\frac{\eta(z)-1}{\eta(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\xi_{1} z+\left(\xi_{2}-\frac{\xi_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) z^{2}+\cdots\right]$,
and
$\phi(w)=\frac{\mu(w)-1}{\mu(w)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\phi_{1} w+\left(\phi_{2}-\frac{\phi_{1}{ }^{2}}{2}\right) w^{2}+\cdots\right]$.
Then, $\eta(z)$ and $\mu(w)$ analytic in $\Omega$ with $\eta(0)=\mu(0)=$ 1. Since the functions $\eta(z)$ and $\mu(w)$ have a positive real part in $\Omega,\left|\xi_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|\phi_{i}\right| \leq 2$. Now,
$t(z)[\Xi(\xi(z))-1]=\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1} \xi_{1} Z+\left[\frac{1}{2} t_{1} A_{1} \xi_{1}+\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1}\left(\xi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \xi_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} t_{0} A_{2} \xi_{1} \xi_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\cdots$,
and
$t(w)[E(\phi(w))-1]=\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1} \phi_{1} w+\left[\frac{1}{2} t_{1} A_{1} \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1}\left(\phi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \phi_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} t_{0} t_{2} \phi_{2} \phi_{1}^{2} w^{2}+\cdots\right.$.

In the view of (5), (6) and (7), (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(2-\alpha) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1} \xi_{1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
2(3-2 \alpha) a_{3}=\frac{1}{2} t_{1} A_{1} \xi_{1}+\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1}\left(\xi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \xi_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} t_{0} A_{2} \xi_{1}^{2},  \tag{10}\\
-2(2-\alpha) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1} \phi_{1}, \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

$2(3-2 \alpha)\left(2 a_{2}{ }^{2}-a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2} t_{1} A_{1} \phi_{1}+\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1}\left(\phi_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \phi_{1}{ }^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} t_{0} A_{2} \phi_{1}{ }^{2}$.

It follows from (9) and (11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{1}=-\phi_{1}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
16(2-\alpha)^{2} a_{2}^{2}=t_{0}^{2} A_{1}^{2}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+{\phi_{1}}^{2}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by adding (10) and (12), also using (13), we get,

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(3-2 \alpha) a_{2}{ }^{2}=\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1}\left(\xi_{2}+\phi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} t_{0}\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\phi_{1}{ }^{2}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14) in (15), we obtain

$$
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{t_{0}^{2} A_{1}^{3}\left(\xi_{2}+\phi_{2}\right)}{8\left[t_{0} A_{1}^{2}(3-2 \alpha)-\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)(2-\alpha)^{2}\right]} .
$$

Applying $\left|\xi_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|\phi_{i}\right| \leq 2$ for the coefficients $\xi_{2}$ and $\phi_{2}$, we immediately get

$$
\left|a_{2}{ }^{2}\right| \leq \frac{t_{0}{ }^{2} A_{1}{ }^{3}}{2\left|t_{0} A_{1}^{2}(3-2 \alpha)-\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)(2-\alpha)^{2}\right|} .
$$

This gives the desired bound on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as given in Theorem 2.1.

In addition to calculate the third bound, by subtracting (12) from (10), we immediately have the equality given below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
4(3-2 \alpha)\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} t_{1} A_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-\phi_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} t_{0} A_{1}\left(\xi_{2}-\phi_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} t_{0}\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right)\left(\xi_{1}^{2}+\phi_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (15) in (16), we get

$$
a_{3}=\frac{t_{1} A_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-\phi_{1}\right)}{8(3-2 \alpha)}+\frac{t_{0} A_{1} \xi_{2}}{4(3-2 \alpha)}+\frac{t_{0}\left(A_{2}-A_{1}\right) \xi_{1}{ }^{2}}{8(3-2 \alpha)} .
$$

Applying $\left|\xi_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|\phi_{i}\right| \leq 2$ for the coefficients $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ and $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$, we obtain the desired bound on $\left|a_{3}\right|$ given in Theorem 2.1.

Lastly, from (16) and (13)

$$
a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}=\frac{t_{1} A_{1}\left(\xi_{1}-\phi_{1}\right)}{8(3-2 \alpha)}+\frac{t_{0} A_{1}\left(\xi_{2}-\phi_{2}\right)}{8(3-2 \alpha)} .
$$

Applying again $\left|\xi_{i}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|\phi_{i}\right| \leq 2$ over again for the coefficients $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ and $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$, we can easily acquire the last desired result in Theorem 2.1.

## CONCLUDING COROLLARIES

For the function $\Xi$ is given by
$\Xi(\mathrm{z})=\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{n}=1+2 n z+2 n^{2} z^{2}+\cdots \quad(0<n \leq 1)$,
which gives

$$
A_{1}=2 n \quad \text { and } \quad A_{2}=2 n^{2}
$$

Theorem 2.1 reduces to the following corollary.
Corollary 3.1. Let $s \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}\left[\alpha,\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{n}\right]$. Then
$\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2\left|t_{0}\right| n}{\sqrt{2\left|n\left[4\left(1-t_{0}\right) \alpha+2\left(3 t_{0}-2\right)-\alpha^{2}\right]+(\alpha-2)^{2}\right|}}$,

$$
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{n\left|t_{1}+n t_{0}\right|}{(3-2 \alpha)}
$$

and

$$
a_{3}-a_{2}{ }^{2} \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{n\left|t_{0}+t_{1}\right|}{(3-2 \alpha)}\right.
$$

Remark 3.2. For $\alpha=0$ and $s \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}\left[\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{n}\right]$, the results in Corollary 3.1 reduced to results given below.

Upon considering $-1 \leq A \leq B<1$ and the function $\Xi(\mathrm{z})$ given by
$\Xi(\mathrm{z})=\frac{1+B z}{1+A z}=1+(B-A) z-A(B-A) z^{2}+\cdots$.

Then we get

$$
A_{1}=B-A \text { and } A_{2}=-A(B-A)
$$

So, the following corollary can be obtain readily,
Corollary 3.3. Let $s \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{S c}\left(\alpha, \frac{1+B z}{1+A z}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}\right|(B-A)}{\sqrt{2\left|t_{0}(B-A)(3-2 \alpha)-(A+1)(2-\alpha)^{2}\right|}}, \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{(B-A)\left|\left(t_{1}-t_{0} A\right)\right|}{2(3-2 \alpha)},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{(B-A)\left|t_{0}+t_{1}\right|}{2(3-2 \alpha)}
$$

Remark 3.4. Upon taking $\alpha=0$ in Corollary 3.3, we immediately acquire the following results:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}\right|(B-A)}{\sqrt{2\left|3 t_{0}(B-A)-4(A+1)\right|}} \\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{(B-A)\left|\left(t_{1}-t_{0} A\right)\right|}{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{(B-A)\left|t_{0}+t_{1}\right|}{6}
$$

Furthermore when the function $\Xi(\mathrm{z})$ is given by
$\Xi(\mathrm{z})=\frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}=1+2(1-\beta) z+2(1-\beta) z^{2}+\cdots \quad(0 \leq \beta<1)$,
we have

$$
A_{1}=A_{2}=2(1-\beta)
$$

Hence, we reach the corollary given below.
Corollary 3.5. Let $s \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}\left(\alpha, \frac{1+(1-2 \beta) z}{1-z}\right)$, Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}\right| \sqrt{1-\beta}}{\sqrt{\left|t_{0}(3-2 \alpha)\right|}} \\
a_{3}\left|=\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\beta)\left|t_{0}+t_{1}\right|}{(3-2 \alpha)} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 3.6. Upon taking $\alpha=0$ in Corollary 3.5, we can immediately acquire the following results

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}\right| \sqrt{1-\beta}}{\sqrt{3\left|t_{0}\right|}}, \\
a_{3}\left|=\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{(1-\beta)\left|t_{0}+t_{1}\right|}{3} .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, considering the function $\Xi(\mathrm{z})$ as follows:

$$
\Xi(\mathrm{z})=\frac{1+z}{1-z}=1+2 z+2 z^{2}+\cdots
$$

we obtain

$$
A_{1}=A_{2}=2
$$

So, we acquire the corollary given below.
Corollary 3.7. Let $s \in \mathcal{F}_{\delta, q}^{s c}\left(\alpha, \frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|t_{0}(3-2 \alpha)\right|}}, \\
\left|a_{3}\right|=\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}+t_{1}\right|}{(3-2 \alpha)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Remark 3.8. Upon taking $\alpha=0$ in Corollary 3.7, we readily reach that

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}\right|}{\sqrt{3\left|t_{0}\right|}}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}\right|=\left|a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{\left|t_{0}+t_{1}\right|}{3}
$$

Remark 3.9. For the case of $t(z) \equiv 1$ and different versions of $\Xi(z)$, other different results can be obtained. The details of these results may be left as an exercise for the interested readers.

## CONCLUSION

Motivated by the earlier works in Wanas and Majeed in [16], we have introduced and investigated a new subfamily of functions by the technique of quasi-subordination. Then, our main conclusion is expressed as Theorem 2.1 and proved. The second and third Maclaurin-coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|,\left|a_{3}\right|$ and also related with these coefficients $\left|a_{3}-a_{2}{ }^{2}\right|$ for functions belonging to this subfamily have derived. In addition, new corollaries and remarks have been obtained for different selections of some parameters.
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